



April 07, 2026

Opposition to SB648

To the New Hampshire House Committee of Commerce and Consumer Affairs,

My name is Maia O. I submit this testimony in opposition to SB648: **An Act Requiring Age Verification by Commercial Entities to Allow Access to Pornographic Material**. As a member of the Boston Sex Workers and Allies Collective, we are a group of Boston area volunteers running a peer operated monthly support group for adult consensual sex workers and survivors of human trafficking. I have worked in the adult entertainment industry as a professional dominatrix over the last eight years of my life and I love my work.

While the adult industry does not want minors on its sites, age verification laws employ a prohibition mindset. Prohibition fails to prioritize consumer safety. At best, consumers evade prohibitionist measures.

Age verification presents a data concern for minors and adults in relation to uploading IDs for verification. Many criminals are eager to extort these hacking vulnerabilities, and this bill endangers users' sensitive private information.

Further, in 1997 the Supreme court ruled, in *Reno v. ACLU*, that age verification requirements are unconstitutional. The court recommends device level filters that empower parents/caregivers while protecting free speech of adult content for legal adults.

As your regional neighbors, consensual adult sex workers in Massachusetts look to New Hampshire as a local leader on internet safety. The adult industry is eager to collaborate with you on solutions for keeping children safe from adult content. Thank you for reading.

Maia O.
Boston, Massachusetts
Boston Sex Workers and Allies Collective
www.bswac.org