

State of New Hampshire HOUSE RECORD

Second Year of the 156th Session of the General Court Calendar and Journal of the 2000 Session

Vol. 22 Concord NH Thursday, June 22, 2000 No. 49

Contains: Governor's Veto Messages, Work Sessions and Notices.

HOUSE CALENDAR

MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE:

The House will meet on Tuesday, June 27 at 10:00 a.m. to act on the Governor's vetoes of House bills, which are printed in this calendar. The Senate is not scheduled to meet until July 12 when they will take up vetoes of any Senate bills and act on any overrides that we send to them next week.

The Judiciary Committee continues to work on HR50. I very much appreciate the committee's diligence in completing their investigation in a timely manner. I anticipate we will meet on July 12 to consider any recommendation they may have in addition to taking up any overrides the Senate may send us.

As is our custom, those committees with Interim Study bills should refrain from meeting in July so the members can plan on some time off and then resume with meetings in August. In order to assure staff availability, please conduct business on Interim Study bills on Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays.

I am saddened to inform you of the recent death of one of our members. Representative Frank Schanda of Newmarket passed away on Tuesday after a brave fight with cancer. All of us who had the pleasure of working with him will miss his wisdom and pleasant manner. Our thoughts and prayers go out to his family.

Donna Sytek, Speaker

NOTICE

There will be a Democratic Caucus on Tuesday, June 27th at 9:00 a.m. in Rooms 306-308 of the Legislative Office Building.

Rep. Peter H. Burling, Democratic Leader

House Judiciary Committee Progress Report to the House

This week the Judiciary Committee will complete two weeks of public testimony from a total of 19 subpoenaed witnesses. The Final Report of the Special Counsel will be received on Tuesday, June 27th and will be available to the members and the public. The Committee will deliberate in public next Wednesday and Thursday, June 28th and 29th, with plans to take a final committee vote pursuant to HR 50 on Wednesday, July 5th.

Rep. Henry P. Mock

Judiciary Committee Chairman

NOTICE

House Calendars will be published every two weeks during June, July and August. Members and staff who need to schedule meetings should make arrangements with the Clerk’s Office for room availability and publication of meeting notices. Please note Calendar will close on **Wednesdays**.

CLOSES NOON <i>WEDNESDAY</i> :	TO BE MAILED <i>THURSDAY</i> :
July 5	July 6
July 19	July 20
August 2	August 3
August 16	August 17
August 30	August 31

Karen O. Wadsworth

Clerk of the House

HOUSE DEADLINES

September 13, 2000, Wednesday	First day for incumbents who are candidates for re-election to file LSR’s with complete information.
November 1, 2000, Wednesday	Final day to file interim study reports with the house clerk.
November 8, 2000, Wednesday	First day for new members to file LSR’s with complete information; begin requirements of 10 day sign-off rule.

GOVERNOR’S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 235

May 19, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed House Bill 235, An Act increasing exemptions under the interest and dividends tax.

I am vetoing this legislation because it is fiscally irresponsible and because I believe it would make the interest and dividends tax less fair.

If enacted, this legislation would reduce state general fund revenues by a significant amount, beginning July 1, 2002. This bill would cost the state general fund almost \$9 million in the next biennial budget and approximately \$6 million each year thereafter. This legislation provides no way to pay for that loss of revenue. In passing this bill, the legislature failed to designate the state services it would cut to compensate for this loss of revenue or, in the alternative, to pass a new revenue source to pay for its cost. Instead, H.B. 235 leaves the tough decision of figuring out how to make up for this loss of revenue to a future legislature. H.B. 235 is fiscally irresponsible.

H.B. 235 would also make the interest and dividends tax less fair. RSA 77:5 currently provides four categories of exemptions from the interest and dividends tax - a standard exemption for all persons; an additional exemption for those 65 years of age or older; an additional exemption for those who are blind; and an additional exemption for those who are disabled or unable to work. H.B. 235 increases the standard exemption and the additional exemption for those 65 years of age or older - regardless of the overall income level or need of the individual. Inexplicably, H.B. 235 leaves unchanged the exemption level for the blind and the exemption level for the disabled or those unable to work.

This legislation only benefits those with a significant amount of money invested in accounts that generate interest and/or dividends, and does so regardless of how much income those persons might have from other sources. For example, under H.B. 235 a married couple under 65 years of age with \$200,000 invested in a typical interest-bearing certificate of deposit would obtain a \$60 tax reduction, even if the couple also has \$1 million in income from exercising stock options. In contrast, a widow over 65 years of age with \$75,000 invested in a typical interest-bearing certificate of deposit, and whose only other source of income is Social Security, would obtain absolutely no benefit from H.B. 235.

H.B. 235 provides no benefit to average senior citizens and provides only a minor benefit to even the most wealthy individuals. Yet, the annual cost of this legislation, about \$6 million, is more than twice the amount the State general fund now invests each year in the Meals on Wheels program for senior citizens. It is over three times the amount the State general fund now invests each year in the Veterans' Home. It is over three times the amount the State general fund now invests each year in the Emergency Shelter program.

This legislation is an example of an unfortunate trend in the legislature to pass both tax expenditures and program expenditures outside the biennial budget process. H.B. 235 was pending in the legislature last year when the House and Senate passed the biennial operating budget. This legislation was not conceived this year to deal with a newly discovered problem or emergency. Indeed, similar legislation to this has been introduced repeatedly over the last few years. If the legislature believes that increasing certain exemptions to the interest and dividends tax is a priority, H.B. 235 should have been passed last year and its cost accounted for in the biennial budget. The legislature chose not to do this. Instead, it passed H.B. 235 this year outside the budget process.

I have made it clear for months that I would veto this legislation. I have repeatedly expressed my concerns regarding legislation that would increase expenditures or reduce revenues at a time when we are struggling to find the resources necessary to fund our schools and provide other essential public services. The legislature's continuing refusal to consider the cumulative fiscal impact of individual pieces of legislation is disturbing and unacceptable.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 405-FN

May 31, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to

Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed

House Bill 405, an Act relative to the annual funding of placement costs for juvenile diversion and alternate disposition programs and relative to an effectiveness study of such programs.

If enacted, this legislation would increase state general fund expenditures by \$108,452 beginning July 1, 2001, gradually increasing in cost to \$124,546 in FY 2004. Like other bills I have vetoed this session, this legislation does not provide a way to pay for its cost. The cost of HB 405, when considered in conjunction with other legislation passed by the House and Senate that increase expenditures or reduce revenues, is unacceptable. In addition, like other bills I have vetoed, this bill leaves the tough decision of figuring out how to pay for its costs to a future legislature.

While I commend the motivation and hard work of the bill's sponsors, and recognize the concerns HB 405 is intended to address, the bill is another example of an unfortunate trend in the legislature to pass both revenue reductions and increased program expenditures outside the biennial budget process. HB 405 was pending in the legislature last year when the House and Senate passed the biennial operating budget. If the legislature believes this increase in funding for juvenile placement costs is a priority, HB 405 should have been passed last year and its cost accounted for in the biennial budget.

It should be noted that HB 405 includes the creation of a study to quantify the effectiveness of juvenile diversion and alternate disposition programs. It would make more sense to first determine which programs are the most effective before allocating to them additional state resources.

I have repeatedly expressed my concerns about legislation that would increase expenditures or reduce revenues at a time when we are limited in the resources we have available to fund our schools and provide other essential public services. HB 405 must be considered in the context of our overall budget constraints. While I regret having to do so, I must veto HB 405.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 542-FN-A

May 31, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed House Bill 542, an Act repealing the legacies and succession tax.

If enacted, this legislation would reduce state general fund revenues by approximately \$25 million the first year it is in effect, the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2001, and then almost \$38 million each year thereafter. Like HB 235, which would have increased exemptions under the State's interest and dividends tax and which I vetoed two weeks ago, this legislation, too, fails to provide a way to pay for its cost.

The annual cost of H.B. 542, about \$38 million, is more than five times the amount spent on home based care programs for the elderly that allow our seniors to remain in their own homes longer and maintain their quality of life. It is nearly four times the amount spent on childcare services which help single parents find good care for their children as they make the sometimes difficult transition from welfare to work. It also is nearly two-thirds the amount we spend on direct care services for citizens with developmental disabilities.

Under New Hampshire law the transfer of wealth at death is subject to state taxation at the rate of 18 percent, with certain exceptions: transfers to spouses, lineal descendants, municipalities, or charitable organizations; and the transfer of a homestead to a sibling who resides in the homestead. Proponents of H.B. 542 persuasively argue that this tax is unfair to those who do not have spouses or lineal descendants to whom they can leave their assets. However, all legislation that costs money must be considered in the context of the state's fiscal situation. It is irresponsible to focus only on the merits of a bill in isolation and not consider its impacts on the state's overall budget picture.

H.B. 542 was pending in the legislature last year when the House and Senate passed the biennial operating budget. The legislature could have made the choice to absorb the cost of paying for H.B. 542 at that time by either cutting state services or increasing another revenue source. The legislature chose not to do so.

The legislature considered and rejected a number of other ways to pay for the cost of this legislation. The legislature rejected the idea of reforming the estate and legacy tax by lowering the rate and applying it to all transfers of wealth. The legislature rejected the idea of paying for H.B. 542 by allowing video lottery at our four racetracks and two grand hotels. It rejected the idea of making the effective date of the repeal contingent on the enactment of a replacement revenue source. It even rejected the idea of phasing in the repeal of this tax over a number of years. All of these options for paying for the cost of this legislation were found unpalatable for one reason or another by the legislature.

Instead, this year the legislature simply amended the bill to put off its effective date until July 1, 2001. It is not an acceptable solution to put off the effective date so that another legislature will have to deal with filling the large revenue gap this bill creates.

On the very day H.B. 542 passed, members of one body conducted a briefing on the state's fiscal status. This same body then turned around and passed H.B. 542 with complete disregard for its fiscal impact.

Casting a vote to repeal a tax is an easy and a politically popular thing to do in an election year. But I believe the governor and the legislature are elected to make hard choices.

If the legislature is unwilling to practice fiscal discipline, I must and I will. While I regret having to veto H.B. 542, I cannot in good conscience approve a bill with this magnitude of fiscal impact.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 628

June 21, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed House Bill 628, an Act relative to the relocation of the principal residence of a child and establishing a regional youth center pilot program in Hillsborough County and in a central location within Coos, Grafton, Carroll, and Belknap counties.

While I commend the supporters of HB 628 for their efforts to address the complicated problem of juveniles who are at risk, after careful consideration I must veto this legislation.

HB 628 does not clearly establish who is responsible for the costs of operating the regional youth center program. And because the bill was not heard in either the House or Senate Finance Committee these questions about ongoing financial obligations were not resolved.

In addition, HB 628 fails to establish clear administrative responsibility for running the new program. The bill proposes to share responsibilities between several state and local agencies, but fails to lay out an organizational structure for implementing the program. Potential conflicts, including litigation, may arise because the lines of authority are not clearly established in law.

I am also concerned about whether this is the best course of action for addressing juvenile services. While the youth center program is one possible way, HB 628 sets the state on a course that may not be consistent with other initiatives and potential methods for addressing these juvenile service needs. While HB 628 would create a "pilot" operating program, in fact the bill will lead to the construction of new permanent buildings at an estimated cost of up to \$10 million, including \$1 million in state funds. If the "pilot" program is deemed a failure and another use must be identified for these facilities, the state will be faced with the always extremely difficult problem of figuring out a new use for state-owned buildings located in our cities and towns.

I today signed into law HB 1463, a provision of which establishes a Department of Youth Development Services advisory board.

This board's charge is to make recommendations relative to the programs and services provided to children referred to the Department as delinquent under RSA 169-B. Creation of this advisory board is one step that will enhance our ability to look at the spectrum of services provided to juveniles and assess how best these juveniles can be served.

Before we commit the state to any program with potentially significant implementation and operating costs, we must make sure such programs fit within an integrated plan for the delivery of services to juveniles.

Given the legislative history of this bill, in which the opportunity for public input was limited, there is need for further scrutiny of the means and ends of the proposed pilot program.

For these reasons, I have vetoed HB 628.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 648

June 21, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed House Bill 648, an Act relative to a sludge testing program.

If enacted, this legislation would transfer up to \$85,000 from state environmental grant payments to municipalities to fund an expanded random sludge testing program at 30 municipal wastewater treatment facilities. While I do not disagree with the intent of the bill and the interest of its supporters in ensuring that all sludge intended for land application meets applicable standards, I do disagree with the manner in which these funds are being appropriated for this purpose.

HB 648 proposes to fund an expanded sludge testing program in FY 2001 through monies that would ordinarily lapse. It is important to understand that lapse funds are not "found" money and available for additional spending. Rather, an estimate of lapse funds is built into the biennial budget, and lapse funds are a critical component of achieving a balanced budget.

Like other bills I have vetoed this session, HB 648 is another example of an unfortunate trend in the legislature to pass both revenue reductions and increased program expenditures outside the biennial budget process. If the legislature believes that funding for this program is a priority, its \$85,000 annual cost should have been included in the current biennial budget and its costs accounted for during the budget process.

I have repeatedly expressed my concerns about legislation that would increase expenditures or decrease revenues at a time when we are limited in the resources we have available to fund our schools and provide other essential services. HB 648 must be considered in the context of our overall budget constraints. For these reasons, I have vetoed HB 648.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 1251

May 31, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed HB 1251, relative to driver education training reimbursement.

If enacted, HB 1251 would increase state expenditures by \$600,000 in fiscal year beginning July 1, 2001 and each year thereafter. Like other bills I have vetoed this session, this legislation does not provide a way to pay for its cost. This cost, when considered in conjunction with other legislation passed by the House and Senate that increase expenditures or decrease revenues, is unacceptable.

While I appreciate the intent of this legislation, if enacted it would be funded through monies that would ordinarily lapse to the general fund. It is important to understand that lapse funds are not "found" money and available for additional spending. Instead, an estimate of lapse funds is built into the biennial budget, and lapse funds are a critical component of achieving a balanced budget.

HB 1251 is another example of an unfortunate trend in the legislature to pass both revenue reductions and increased program expenditures outside the biennial budget process without identifying offsetting budget cuts or revenue increases to cover the budget impacts. If the legislature believes that providing reimbursement to driver education students attending private training courses is a priority, the \$600,000 in annual costs must be offset by either cutting state services or increasing another revenue source.

I have repeatedly expressed my concerns about legislation that would increase expenditures or reduce revenues at a time when we are limited in the resources we have to fund our schools and provide other essential services. HB 1251 must be considered in the context of the state's overall budget constraints. While I regret having to do so, I must veto HB 1251.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 1343-FN-A

May 31, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed House Bill 1343, an Act appropriating available funds for fiscal year 2000 to provide funding to support research monitoring groundwater at reclamation sites that have had sludge applied.

If enacted, this legislation would transfer \$20,000 in unused FY 2000 funds from state environmental grant payments to municipalities for this research effort. While I do not disagree with the intent of the bill and the interest of its sponsors in supporting additional research on the impacts of applying sludge at reclamation sites, I do disagree with the manner in which these funds are being appropriated for this purpose.

Like other bills I have vetoed this session, HB 1343 is another example of an unfortunate trend in the legislature to pass both revenue reductions and increased program expenditures outside the biennial budget process. These bills exacerbate the financial concerns we face. If the legislature believes that funding for this research effort is a priority, this \$20,000 should have been included in the biennial budget and its costs accounted for during the budget process.

This legislation, if enacted, would be funded through monies that would ordinarily lapse. It is important to understand that lapse funds are not "found" money and available for additional spending. Instead, an estimate of lapse funds is built into the biennial budget, and lapse funds are a critical component of achieving a balanced budget.

I have repeatedly expressed my concerns about legislation that would increase expenditures or reduce revenues at a time when we are limited in the resources we have available to fund our schools and provide other essential services. HB 1343 must be considered in the context of our overall budget constraints. With regret, I must veto HB 1343.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 1406

May 23, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed House Bill 1406.

I am vetoing this legislation because its provisions have been superceded by S.B. 472, and, thus are superfluous and unnecessary.

H.B. 1406 was designed to establish the legislative policy around the use of transition service during the initial years of competition in the territory served by Public Service Company of New Hampshire. I concur with the legislature's intent as expressed in this legislation to avoid the creation of cost deferrals through the pricing of transition service, and this issue has been addressed within the provisions of S.B. 472.

I have conferred with the primary sponsor of H.B. 1406 and he has advised me that he supports the vetoing of H.B. 1406.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGE ON HB 1548

May 19, 2000

To the Honorable Members of the General Court:

By the authority vested in me as Governor of New Hampshire, pursuant to Part II, Article 44 of the Constitution of New Hampshire, I have this day vetoed House Bill 1548, An Act abolishing the death penalty.

I believe that there are some murders that are so brutal and heinous that the death penalty is the only appropriate penalty.

Just three years ago in Colebrook, Carl Drega tracked down and killed Vickie Bunnell. Carl Drega killed Dennis Joos, who tried to save Vickie Bunnell. Carl Drega killed two New Hampshire state troopers, Scott Philips and Leslie Lord. During his shooting rampage, Carl Drega also shot and wounded four other law enforcement officers. The search of Carl Drega's property that followed his bloody rampage revealed hundreds of pounds of booby-trapped explosives designed to kill and maim countless people. If Carl Drega had not been killed that day, I believe an indictment for capital murder would have been appropriate for his cold-blooded, brutal murders.

Our death penalty law carefully safeguards defendants' due process rights. No one has been executed in New Hampshire since 1939. No one sits on death row in this state. Capital indictments have been brought only in the most exceptional cases.

Some who oppose the death penalty argue that DNA testing has shown that innocent people have sat on death row in other states. Some have suggested that the Richard Buchanan case in New Hampshire shows that could happen here. I believe that the Buchanan case proves the exact opposite. After Mr. Buchanan was arrested, but long before trial, DNA testing showed that the evidence found on the body of the victim in that horrible crime could not have come from Mr. Buchanan. Charges against Mr. Buchanan were then dropped and he was released from custody. Indeed, the advent of DNA testing reduces the likelihood that innocent people will be convicted of crimes.

Some who oppose the death penalty argue we cannot trust our criminal justice system and cite examples, all from other states, where those charged with capital murder did not receive adequate defenses or otherwise did not receive a fair trial. This is not true of New Hampshire. New Hampshire has an excellent public defender program and our criminal defense bar is among the best in the country. I have the highest confidence in New Hampshire's criminal justice system and so should every New Hampshire citizen.

Our statute is designed to make the carrying out of the death penalty extraordinarily difficult. Under New Hampshire law, a jury of twelve first must unanimously find beyond a reasonable doubt that the accused committed every element of the charged offense. Then, in a separate sentencing phase, the jury must also unanimously find beyond a reasonable doubt that at least two "aggravating" factors exist, and that the aggravating factors outweigh any "mitigating" factors that may exist. Moreover, our law requires the judge to instruct the jury that regardless of its findings with respect to aggravating and mitigating factors, the jury does not have to impose a death sentence. In any case where the death penalty is imposed, both the conviction and death sentence is subject to an automatic and prompt review by the New Hampshire Supreme Court.

I have listened to the arguments of supporters of this bill. I have read the thoughtful correspondence and materials I have received from both supporters and opponents of this bill, and I have considered the arguments on both sides of this issue with great care. I am respectful of the very sincerely held beliefs of those who oppose the death penalty. But it falls within my duty as governor to act on this legislation based on what I believe is in the best interests of the people of this state, and to the best of my ability, I have done so. I believe our capital murder statute should remain the law in New Hampshire.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Shaheen

Governor

LAID ON TABLE – 1999 session

CACR 12, relating to a 4-year term for the office of governor. Providing that beginning in the year 2002, the governor shall be elected every 4 years.

CACR 21, relating to gender neutral language in the constitution. Providing that all references to persons in the constitution shall be gender neutral.

HB 116-FN-A-L, establishing an alternative consumption tax as a source of funding for education and making an appropriation therefor.

HB 549-L, relative to the minimum vote required for bond issues by municipalities.

HB 550-L, relative to the date town reports must be made available in towns which have adopted the official ballot referenda form of meeting.

HB 612-FN, relative to compensation paid to jurors.

HB 695-FN-A, providing an exemption under the interest and dividends tax for taxpayers on a limited income.

HB 703-FN-A-L, establishing a regional youth center pilot program in the city of Nashua.

LAID ON TABLE – 2000 session

CACR 33, relating to meetings of the legislature. Providing that the legislature shall assemble biennially. (Pending question is interim study.)

HB 637-FN, establishing certain standards of accountability for health maintenance organizations and other entities providing health insurance through a managed care system. (Pending question is the adoption of the committee report – ought to pass with amendment.)

HB 1229, requiring the completion of a rate proceeding prior to legislative approval of a settlement agreement with PSNH. (Pending question is the adoption of the majority committee report – interim study.)

HB 1375, establishing financial assurance for guaranteed promise of customer repayment of rate reduction bonds. (Pending question is the adoption of the committee report – interim study.)

HR 10, affirming revenue estimates for fiscal years 2000, and 2001.

COMMITTEE HEARINGS

MONDAY, JUNE 26

GOVERNOR’S CAPITAL BUDGET HEARINGS, Room 308, LOB

- 9:30 a.m. Opening Remarks – Governor Shaheen
- 9:35 a.m. University System of New Hampshire
- 10:20 a.m. Administrative Services
- 11:00 a.m. Cultural Resources
- 11:15 a.m. Revenue Administration
- 11:30 a.m. Judiciary
- 1:30 p.m. Adjutant General
- 1:45 p.m. Agriculture
- 2:00 p.m. Justice
- 2:15 p.m. NH Liquor Commission
- 2:30 p.m. Department of Safety
- 2:45 p.m. Department of Corrections
- 3:00 p.m. Fish and Game Department
- 3:15 p.m. Department of Resources and Economic Development
- 3:45 p.m. Department of Environmental Services

LAND USE MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION OF FARMLAND, RURAL CHARACTER AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND SPRAWL STUDY (HB 288, Chapter 23:3, Laws of 1999), Room 302, LOB

- 2:00 p.m. Regular meeting.

OIL FUND DISBURSEMENT (RSA 146-D:4), Room 305, LOB

- 9:00 a.m. Regular meeting.

SULLIVAN COUNTY REGIONAL REFUSE DISPOSAL DISTRICT (HB 379, Chapter 201:2, Laws of 1999), Room 301, LOB

- 9:30 a.m. Regular meeting
- Concord Regional Solid Waste/Resource Recovery Cooperative, Whitney Road, Penacook**
- 11:00 a.m. Meet at Whitney Road for tour of facility.

WOMEN’S HEALTH CARE STUDY, (HB 214, Chapter 42:1, Laws of 1999), Room 302, LOB

- 9:00 a.m. Regular meeting.

TUESDAY, JUNE 27

HISTORICAL COMMITTEE (RSA 17-I), Room 105, State House

2:00 p.m. Regular meeting.

LONG-RANGE CAPITAL PLANNING AND UTILIZATION (RSA 17-M), Room 103, State House

2:30 p.m. Special meeting for the purpose of reviewing tabled request LRCP 00-025 from the Department of Administrative Services.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 28

CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW, Room 207, LOB

10:00 a.m. Interim study subcommittee work session on ***HB 1443-FN***, requiring a hearing prior to issuance of statement of findings on the suspension, revocation, or denial of a daycare provider's license.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ADMINISTRATION, Room 202, LOB

1:30 p.m. Interim study subcommittee work session on ***HB 1612-FN***, establishing a state office of privacy.

GOVERNOR'S CAPITAL BUDGET HEARINGS, Room 308, LOB

- 8:30 a.m. Department of Transportation
- 8:45 a.m. NH Port Authority
- 9:00 a.m. Department of Health and Human Services
- 9:45 a.m. NH Veterans Home
- 10:00 a.m. Department of Youth Development Services
- 10:30 a.m. NH Community Technical College System
- 11:15 a.m. Christa McAuliffe Planetarium
- 11:30 a.m. Department of Education

JUDICIARY, Rooms 210-211, LOB

9:30 a.m. Public meeting pursuant to ***HR 50***, authorizing and directing the house judiciary committee to investigate whether cause exists for the impeachment of David A. Brock, chief justice, and/or any other justice of the New Hampshire supreme court.

LAWRENCE, MASSACHUSETTS TO MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE AND CONCORD TO LEBANON RAIL SERVICE FEASIBILITY STUDY (HB 1409, Chapter 46:2, Laws of 2000), Room 306, LOB

10:00 a.m. Regular meeting.

PASSENGER RAIL SERVICE FROM NEWBURYPORT, MASSACHUSETTS TO KITTEERY, MAINE TASK FORCE (HB 1378, Chapter 45:1, Laws of 2000), Room 306, LOB

11:00 a.m. Regular meeting.

WILDLIFE AND MARINE RESOURCES, Room 307, LOB

- 8:30 a.m. Rescheduled interim study subcommittee work session on ***HB 280-FN***, establishing a point system for the annual moose permit lottery.
- 10:00 a.m. Rescheduled interim study subcommittee work session on ***HB 1152***, relative to the establishment of crossbow hunting seasons.

THURSDAY, JUNE 29

CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW, Room 308, LOB

1:00 p.m. Interim study subcommittee work session on **HB 1238**, relative to the collection and disbursement of support orders by the department of health and human services.

JUDICIARY, Rooms 210-211, LOB

9:30 a.m. Public meeting pursuant to **HR 50**, authorizing and directing the house judiciary committee to investigate whether cause exists for the impeachment of David A. Brock, chief justice, and/or any other justice of the New Hampshire supreme court.

FRIDAY, JUNE 30

COLLEGE TUITION SAVINGS PLAN ADVISORY COMMISSION (RSA 195-H:2), Room 103, State House

9:00 a.m. Regular meeting.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 5

FISCAL COMMITTEE (RSA 14:30-a), Room 103, State House

9:00 a.m. Regular business.

JUDICIARY, Rooms 210-211, LOB

9:30 a.m. Public meeting pursuant to **HR 50**, authorizing and directing the house judiciary committee to investigate whether cause exists for the impeachment of David A. Brock, chief justice, and/or any other justice of the New Hampshire supreme court.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 12

WILDLIFE AND MARINE RESOURCES, Room 307, LOB

8:30 a.m. Interim study subcommittee work session on **HB 1152**, relative to the establishment of crossbow hunting seasons.

9:15 a.m. Interim study subcommittee work session on **HB 280-FN**, establishing a point system for the annual moose permit lottery.

TUESDAY, JULY 11

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE (RSA 17-J:2), Room 201, LOB

9:30 a.m. Regular meeting.

THURSDAY, JULY 13

WILDLIFE AND MARINE RESOURCES, Room 307, LOB

9:30 a.m. Executive session on all interim study bills.

TUESDAY, JULY 25

ATECH SERVICES GENERAL OPERATIONS STUDY (SB 176, Chapter 3:2, Laws of 2000), Room 308, LOB

10:00 a.m. Regular meeting.

OFFICIAL NOTICES

COUNTY DELEGATION NOTICE

The Merrimack County Executive Committee will meet on Monday, June 26th at 10:00 a.m. in the lower level conference room of the McDonnell Building, 4 Court Street, Concord. The meeting will be an informational meeting regarding the House of Corrections and sentencing option.

Rep. Ray F. Langer, Chairman

Merrimack County Executive Committee

COUNTY DELEGATION NOTICE

The Rockingham County Executive Committee will meet on July 21 at 9:30 a.m. at the Hilton Auditorium, Rockingham County Nursing Home, Brentwood to confirm the second quarter review.

Rep. David A. Welch, Clerk

Rockingham County Executive Committee

COUNTY DELEGATION NOTICE

In conformity with the request of the County Commissioners on the date June 13 and in accordance with Chapter 24, Section 7 of the NHRSA of 1955, I am hereby calling the annual meeting of the Sullivan County Convention for Friday, June 30 at the Ahern Recreation Building, Sullivan County Home in Unity beginning at 1:00 p.m.

Rep. Gordon B. Flint, Chairman

Sullivan County Delegation

REVISED AMENDED FISCAL NOTES

The following HBs have a revised or amended fiscal note: HBs 86, 235, 239, 273, 279, 305, 417, 505, 542, 618, 628, 648, 733, 1114, 1165, 1188, 1200, 1234, 1238, 1251, 1259, 1264, 1265, 1270, 1342, 1377, 1418, 1419, 1448, 1450, 1459, 1464, 1467, 1469, 1485, 1492, 1494, 1510, 1511, 1521, 1522, 1535, 1536, 1537, 1539, 1540, 1541, 1543, 1544, 1546, 1547, 1548, 1549, 1551, 1552, 1553, 1554, 1555, 1556, 1557, 1558, 1559, 1560, 1561, 1562, 1563, 1564, 1566, 1567, 1568, 1569, 1571, 1572, 1573, 1575, 1579, 1584, 1586, 1587, 1591, 1595, 1602, 1606, 1608, 1612, 1615, 1616, 1619, 1620, 1621, 1628 and 2000.

Leo J. Callahan, Assistant Clerk

MEMBERS' NOTICES

The following notices are published in the House record as a courtesy to the member(s) requesting publication. These are not official public notices and will be limited to legislative policy or social activities and political meetings or events. Publication should not be construed as support for either the events listed or the view espoused by the individual or organization sponsoring the event.

Legislators are cordially invited to attend meetings of the Citizens for Inheritance Tax Equity (CITE). Meetings are held on the first Tuesday of each month at the Nashua Public Library at 7:00 p.m. Please join us for a discussion of the Legacies and Succession Tax, RSA 86.

Rep. Paul A. McGuirk

The Strafford County Republicans will meet the third Tuesday of each month at 7:00 p.m. at the Gateway Restaurant, Somersworth.

Rep. Robert E. McKinley

Belknap County Republicans will hold their Annual Chicken Barbecue and Picnic at the Smith Meeting House, Gilmanton on Saturday, June 24 from noon to 3:00 p.m. Tickets are \$15. Contact Rep. Rosen at 524-8651.

Rep. Ralph J. Rosen

Granite State Independent Living cordially invites all members of the House to attend its 20th anniversary celebration on Wednesday, June 28th. Join GSIL in celebrating twenty years of promoting independent living for people with disabilities in New Hampshire. The open house will run from 2:00 to 5:00 p.m. at the GSIL Office, 21 Chenell Drive, Concord. RSVP to 800/826-3700 if you can attend.

Reps. Eugene L. Gagnon and Carol A. Williams

A meeting to follow up on the Higher Education Summit will be held on June 29th from 11:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. at the Holiday Inn, Concord.

Rep. Jane E. O'Hearn

The New Hampshire Order of Women Legislators (OWLS) will be having its First Annual OWLS Golf Tournament Monday, July 24 at the Passaconway Country Club in Litchfield. For more information please contact Reps. Betsy McKinney or Janet Wall.

Reps. Betsy McKinney and Janet G. Wall

The Department of Transportation will hold the following meetings:

June 27 – NHDOT, 1 Hazen Drive, Room 103, Concord, 11:00 a.m. Commission meeting, Rye, US Route 1A, Foye's Corner.

June 27 – Wentworth Elementary School, Route 25, Wentworth, 7:00 p.m. Public officials meeting, Warren/Wentworth, replacement of NH 25 Bridge/Baker River.

July 6 – Madbury Town Hall, 13 Town Hall Road, Madbury, 7:00 p.m. Public informational meeting, Madbury, replacement of the NH 155 bridge.

July 11 – Administrative Office Building Supreme Court, 1 Hazen Drive, Concord, 3:00 p.m. Committee meeting, Transportation Enhancement Advisory Committee Meeting.

July 13 – Lincoln Town Hall Conference Room, Main Street, Lincoln, 6:00 p.m. Public informational meeting, Lincoln, US Route 3/Harvard Brook.

July 13 – Meeting Room, Town Hall, 157 Main Street, Epping, 7:00 p.m. Public informational meeting, NH 87 bridge over Lamprey River.

July 26 – Ossipee Town Hall Recreation Department, 55 Main Street, Ossipee, 7:00 p.m. Combined public officials/public informational meeting, Ossipee, Old NH Route 16 over Beech River.

July 27 – W. Running Brook Middle School, 1 W. Running Brook Lane, Derry, 6:00 p.m. Advisory Task Force Meeting, Salem, Widening of I-93.

Rep. Edwin O. Smith, Chairman

Public Works and Highways