LEGISLATIVE PERFORMANCE AUDIT AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
1 Granite Place, Room 234
Concord, NH 03301
Friday, October 23, 2026

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Representative Gerald Griffin, Chair
Representative Keith Erf
Representative Kenneth Weyler
Representative Mary Jane Wallner
Representative Lucy Weber
Senator Cindy Rosenwald
Senator Howard Pearl
Senator Suzanne Prentiss
Senator Keith Murphy

1. Acceptance of the May 22, 2026 meeting minutes:

GERALD GRIFFIN, State Representative, Hillsborough County, District #42, and Chairman: Good morning. It being 12:30, I will open the meeting on the Legislative Performance Audit and Oversight Committee. Um -- the first item we have is acceptance of the minutes of May 22nd.

** KEITH ERF, State Representative, Hillsborough County, District #28: Move acceptance.

LUCY WEBER, State Representative, Cheshire County, District #05: Second.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you. Uh -- it's been moved and seconded acceptance of the minutes. All in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

KENNETH WEYLER, State Representative, Rockingham County, District #14: Abstain. Wasn't here.

*** **{MOTION ADOPTED}**

2. Status of ongoing audits:

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. The second item on the agenda is the status of ongoing audits.

CHRISTINE YOUNG, Director, Audit Division, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, and Committee Members. For the record, I'm Christine Young, the Director of Audits for the LBA. And with me is Jay Henry, Performance Audit Supervisor.

Starting with the Special Education Audit, since we last met, we lost an experienced team member who was working on this audit. She's no longer with our office. Uh -- we were able to bring in another auditor who had worked on the Doorway Program audit. But as you can imagine, there's a significant and expected learning curve with her having no prior experience in Department of Education audits. We are still writing the report. We currently have 66 of 86 Observations completed. Twelve Observations are currently in management review status, and eight Observations are being drafted.

We are now estimating we will have the Observations to the Department late this year. And because we

need to give the Department sufficient time to respond to the report, we estimate that a final report will not be released until the second quarter of next year, if all goes well with the close-out process after we receive the auditee responses from the Department.

Moving on to the Education Freedom Account audit. Thirty-nine of 41 Observations have been completed. We combined two topics and reduced our Observation count by one since the last meeting in May. The final two Observations are being written now.

The last time we met, we discussed a cooperation agreement that we drafted to establish the terms of how the Department of Education, the Children's Scholarship Fund and our office would cooperate to accomplish the work that was directed by this Committee on the expanded scope of the EFA audit to address the student residency status and the records of educational attainment. That agreement, which is now in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding, was reviewed by the Department of Education, and also by the Department of Justice as Senator Pearl had requested. We got that reviewed by the Department of Justice.

Representative Erf requested a copy of the agreement, and the handout you have in front of you is a draft

copy of the agreement. It's the MOU with the Exhibit A attached. And that was agreed to by the Department and our office, and it has the input and suggested edits from the Department of Justice included.

Uh -- you may recall that the expanded EFA Scope Statement that was approved by this Committee on May 22nd was contingent upon the three parties, the Department, the CSF, and LBA, reaching a written agreement on providing auditor access to necessary CSF personnel, source documents, records, data, and other information.

This written agreement, the MOU and the Exhibit A, was sent to the CSF and -- uh -- their attorney on September 22nd. There was a meeting scheduled with all interested parties. That included our office, the Department of Education, the CSF, their attorney, and the General Counsel for the General Court. That was scheduled for September 23rd. That meeting never took place. The CSF attorney requested that we reschedule that meeting to provide the attorney with more time to discuss the MOU with the CSF prior to the meeting.

However, after briefly reviewing that MOU, the attorney's initial takeaway was that -- uh -- there were concerns with respect to the authority and the scope of the terms in the MOU. He wanted to work through that with the CSF

-- um -- before a meeting was held.

The attorney suggested that we reschedule the meeting for the week of October 5th. Um -- since September 22nd when the MOU was sent to the CSF and their attorney, we tried on three separate occasions to reschedule that September 23rd meeting. Um -- the CSF and their attorney had not been responsive to those requests for the past four weeks, and just this week communication is starting to resume. Uh -- the CSF is requesting a meeting right before Thanksgiving. So we are now coordinating schedules and trying to find a meeting date and time that works for everyone.

As of right now, the contingency in the expanded scope statement has not been met. The MOU has not been signed, and no work has been started on the expanded scope of the EFA audit. It is not clear if we will reach agreement with CSF and, if so, how long that process might take.

So, right now we intend to finish our work on the original scope. And unless something changes quickly with the expanded scope, we will continue with the report process on the original scope as we had originally planned when we started this audit.

We estimate that we could have a draft report that is with the Observations relating to the original scope to

the Department by January, and we'd like to be able to present the report to the Fiscal Committee in April, if all goes well with the audit closeout process.

And moving on to Mobile Crisis Intervention. That was a DHHS topic. This audit topic was approved at the August Fiscal Committee meeting. Given the turnover in staff that I mentioned earlier, we haven't had staff available, and we have not started any work on this topic. We currently have eight employees on a performance audit side and that is excluding Jay. We have two vacant positions. And we also have two performance auditors who agreed to help our Budget Division to lessen the workload in the next legislative session.

So that work for those two performance auditors will start in the December to January time frame. So, it's likely we will not have staff available to start work on this topic or any topic until sometime in 2027 when the two education audits are completed. That is all for audit status update. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you for the update. Uh -- Representative Weber.

REP. WEBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for taking my question. Uh -- I actually have several, if I may, but I'll stop after one and you can make your ruling.

Uh -- my first question is that the EFA audit goes to the Department, and you're only giving them one-quarter to respond; but the NHED Special-Ed audit you seem to be giving them at least two quarters to respond. What's the reason for that?

MS. YOUNG: Yes. It's -- um -- based on the length of the report. Uh -- the Special Education Audit has 86 Observations in it currently. And so far the Education Freedom Account audit has 41 Observations. So it will likely take the Department a little bit more time to respond to Special Education. And the other thing is these two audits are -- um -- on the same timeline essentially. So the Department is going to have to navigate both of the audits -- um -- and I'm not sure how long it's going to take them to do that. So -- um -- but that was the reason why we were giving a little bit more time for Special Education.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Follow-up.

REP. WEBER: So this is actually turning to the Education Freedom Account audit. I'm -- I'm just going to state as a beginning position that I still do not understand why we need that Memorandum of Understanding, because it -- we seem to have been talking for a very long time about the fact that these are taxpayer dollars, and we follow taxpayer dollars

to their ultimate recipient. And I can remember the House Majority Leader making a statement to that effect in another context last year. Um -- and I -- uh -- I'm experiencing an enormous amount of frustration about the amount of time that it is taking to get to any kind of result here. Because -- um -- you know, what we were told initially was that the folks who were administering the program were perfectly happy to give us information, and it was the Department of Education that was unwilling to have that happen. And now we are being told that it is the organization that is unwilling to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding.

Do you -- that's probably not a -- not a fair question to ask if you have any reason why for all of that. But it just -- I guess I'll just make it as my statement that I'm concerned about that as a -- as a long-term issue.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Prentiss.

SUZANNE PRENTISS, State Senator, Senate District #5: Thank you so much, Chair. This is a follow-up from Representative Weber's --

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Microphone, please.

SEN. PRENTISS: Sorry. Thank you. I guess I'm a little out of practice. This is a follow-up to — thank you, Chair — Representative Weber's question about the Education

Freedom Accounts.

Good afternoon. Uh -- is it -- is it normal for an organization like the Children's Scholarship Fund to not comply or not, you know, readily provide information? It seems like there's -- this has been going on for a while as was pointed out by Representative Weber. What is the average that we should expect before an organization that, you know, is using taxpayer dollars and has a specific charge that we've given them responds to the request that you've made?

MS. YOUNG: Thank you for the question. Um -- this arrangement with the Children's Scholarship organization is very unique. Um -- we normally work -- uh -- with State Agencies. Um -- we audit State Agencies and the -- um -- statutory framework for the Education Freedom Account has a non-state entity administering the Education Freedom Account Program. Uh -- so there is a bit of a barrier there where -- um -- it's difficult to obtain the records from a non-state entity. And, essentially, in order to do so -- uh -- the Department of Education would need to cooperate with us in order to obtain the records from the scholarship organization.

Uh -- initially, the Department was a bit resistant to cooperating with us. And -- um -- now there's new leadership. The -- the new commissioner is more willing to

work with us to -- um -- you know, allow us to have access to the records that they can obtain to help us do the audit. So, they've been willing to cooperate. We've worked with them. And as I said before, the Department of Justice has reviewed the agreement. We've come to an agreement. Um -- it took a while to get there, but they are willing to help facilitate the audit for the expanded scope.

Um -- the records that we need for that work are not in the possession of the Department of Education currently. They're student records, family records, and this is information that is not maintained at the Department of Education. So -- um -- we are trying to work out an agreement ahead of time -- um -- so that we can find a way to have all parties cooperate so that we are able to perform the work that this Committee directed us to do.

SEN. PRENTISS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Rosenwald.

CINDY ROSENWALD, State Senator, Senate District #13: Thank you, and my apologies for being late. I thought the meeting started at one.

Um -- I remember when we first took up this topic seeing the language of the contract that went before G&C. And my memory is that it said that the data belongs to the

State. So we should, as Representative Weber has pointed out, we should be able to get whatever data is needed, shouldn't we?

MS. YOUNG: Yes. Uh -- the Department had a different interpretation of the language in the contract. And -- um -- they renewed the contract and the newer contract -- um -- further limits the State's access to the records. Um -- you know, in accordance with that contract, it sounds like the Department of Education and the CSF believe that only the records that came from the State are State records. So, if the State is providing records to the CSF, then those are State records. But any records that are student records or family records -- um -- that are -- uh -- at the CSF would not be considered records of the State. So I think there's still disagreement over that topic, and -- um -- our audit will be touching upon that topic as well.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weber.

REP. WEBER: Well, my question initially was the question that Senator Rosenwald asked. So -- um -- I will proceed on for that and ask -- um -- was that second contract, which I don't believe we've seen -- um -- run through the Executive Council?

MS. YOUNG: Yes, it did get approved by Governor and Council.

REP. WEBER: Okay. And -- and it was approved with those changes?

MS. YOUNG: Yes, it was.

REP. WEBER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Erf.

REP. ERF: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you for taking the question. Just to make sure I understand correctly. The Memorandum of Understanding that you included in the current handout, that has been agreed to by the Department of Education?

MS. YOUNG: That is correct.

REP. ERF: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Any other member have a question? Representative Weber again.

REP. WEBER: I will just have one more question about the language of that Memorandum of Understanding because it appears to me, and I'm sorry, I didn't -- um -- note the -- oh, it was number seven. Um -- it appears to me that the Memorandum of Understanding can be terminated at any point just on 15 days' notice. So, in that respect, does this actually obligate anybody to anything? Because there's a long -- there appears to be a long -- um -- thing about how it gets terminated if there's a dispute under Section 8. But under

Section 7 it just says unless terminated earlier by written notice 50 days -- 15 days prior. Um -- so one of my questions would be does this Memorandum of Understanding actually provide the State with any protection at all?

MS. YOUNG: Representative Weber, I'll point you to Page 1.

REP. WEBER: Okay.

MS. YOUNG: At the very top.

REP. WEBER: Okay.

MS. YOUNG: It says this agreement does not create any legally enforceable rights or obligations.

REP. WEBER: Okay.

MS. YOUNG: So it would not be legally enforceable in a court of law.

REP. WEBER: Okay.

MS. YOUNG: But it is -- the purpose is to establish an understanding of how all the parties will cooperate. And this was done because of the resistance that we received -- um -- previously to accessing the records. So -- uh -- we were trying to lay out all the terms and conditions of the arrangement so that once we start the work, we're not hitting road blocks --

REP. WEBER: Hm-hum.

MS. YOUNG: -- as we're trying to access certain records, and we can actually do the work in accordance with the terms that we had all agreed to.

REP. WEBER: So may I have one more follow-up for that?

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Follow-up.

REP. WEBER: So I really understand and am very sympathetic and supportive of the concerns about not releasing information about individual students. Um -- but what I'm not understanding is that our Audit Division audits very sensitive subjects all the time and is subject to generally accepted practices and -- um -- requirements of the way you -- you do your work that cover all of the sensitive topics that you look at.

So -- um -- it is a matter of some mystification to me why in this case this whole procedure needs to be gone through. I understand that what I'm being told is nothing is going to happen unless you do it. So but I -- I -- I think it's a huge imposition on the taxpayers when we have been really stonewalled about where taxpayer money is going and -- and what -- how exactly it's being used. So thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. Are there any other

questions on ongoing audits? If not, we thank the staff for their update, and we'll move on to potential, suspended, and tabled audit items.

3. Potential, suspended, and tabled audit topics:

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: The first item is the Local Special Education Costs, potential audit topic. Mr. Henry said that we came to some kind of a understanding on this, but we failed to take a vote on it; is that correct?

JAY HENRY, Performance Audit Supervisor, Audit Division, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: So what would the motion be to correct that?

MR. HENRY: I think you just have to -- um -- I guess you need someone to, what it -- to suggest the --

MS. YOUNG: Right.

MR. HENRY: -- that you accept this as a topic.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HENRY: And seconded by someone, then vote on it.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Someone want to make that motion that we accept this as a topic?

SEN. ROSENWALD: {Inaudible}.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Rosenwald.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Thank you. Do you have auditors available to take this on?

MS. YOUNG: Not at the moment. And -- um -- I believe the Mobile Crisis Intervention Audit is on the list before this Local Special Education Costs Audit. Um -- and as I said before, we wouldn't be able to start on the Mobile Crisis Audit until likely next late spring or summertime, so.

SEN. ROSENWALD: So this would be after that.

MS. YOUNG: It would be after that, correct.

SEN. ROSENWALD: And if I could follow-up? The Mobile Crisis Intervention Audit might be an example of where very sensitive individual data is held that you guys know exactly how to handle.

MS. YOUNG: Yes.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weber.

REP. WEBER: I'm going to suggest that in light of the fact that there have been the personnel issues at -- at the Division and -- uh -- we already have a significant back load, I would prefer, speaking only for myself, not to put another audit in the pipeline until we are closer to having personnel available to do it, because we don't know what kind of things may come up on an emergency basis or at least a very

urgent basis between now and then. I'm thinking of the -- back to the time when we did a very urgent audit of the Veterans Home because the -- um -- Fiscal Committee had been told that their electricity was about to be turned off. And so we turned all available assets towards that one.

So, I'm going to suggest that we simply put this off until the next meeting because -- um -- I think -- I think we need to know where we at -- are at in terms of personnel and what we have available after some really intensive stuff that haven't even been taken up yet.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: How does the rest of the Committee feel about that?

REP. WEBER: And if I may, I had one other question which is are you -- is your office subject to the hiring freeze? Are the two spaces that are open, are you able to hire for those or are you just waiting for something to happen on that?

MS. YOUNG: We are currently on a pause.

REP. WEBER: Okay. So even if somebody exceedingly qualified came along, you couldn't hire them at this point.

MS. YOUNG: That's correct.

REP. WEBER: Okay. Thank you. All the more

reason.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Others? Well, there's a motion on the floor.

REP. ERF: No. No, I didn't make a motion. I'm fine to wait.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. Well, I think -- um -- I think probably Representative Weber's suggestion is probably well -- well -- well said. And I think this Committee, of course, even if we put it in the pipeline, if there were an emergency, I think this Committee has the power to -- to move things around, particularly if they're not ongoing. So I guess I'll still call for a vote on putting this in the pipeline. Uh --

REP. WEBER: I thought that he stated that he hadn't made a motion.

REP. ERF: She thought that it was stated I did not make a motion which is what I stated, so there wasn't a motion, right. You -- there's no motion then.

REP. WEBER: No motion. No second.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. There's no motion?

REP. ERF: No motion. I did not make a motion, and I agree that we should let it go. So, for the time being we'll just leave things as status quo. Does that make sense?

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: All right. I guess we'll move on then. The next item is UNH Organizational Staffing Structure and proposed changes to the Chancellor's and UNH President's Office.

We have a letter from the Senate and -- uh -- I don't know whether you all got copies of the letter. It's in your handout. It's on the back page. And -- um -- Senator Prentiss is one of the signers of the letter. And -- uh -- President of the Senate, Senator Carson, is the primary signer. Senator Lang, who isn't here, who's on our committee, signed it. And Senator Fenton signed it.

So, it basically says we're hereby requesting that the Joint Legislative Performance Audit and Oversight Committee request that the Legislative Budget and Audit Division conduct a performance audit of the University System of New Hampshire to ensure the system operates efficiently and effectively. An external financial statement audit is statutorily required on an annual basis. It is important, however, for the Legislature in its policymaking role to be informed of the improvements that can be made to the System's administrative operations.

The performance audit should include, but not be limited to, a review of the organizational staffing structure

of the System, and the proposed merger between the Chancellor's Office and the Office of the President of the University of New Hampshire. If you have any questions regarding the scope of this performance audit, please don't hesitate to reach out to our offices.

So, that's basically what the letter says. Representative Weyler.

REP. WEYLER: Well, as we just discussed, we don't have staff to do a performance audit now.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Right.

REP. WEYLER: And this one there's ongoing work on the Board of the University and the staffing, so that you'd almost be interfering with what they're doing now if you tried to dig into this while they're in the -- in the midst of doing all this analysis themselves.

So, you know, I don't -- I don't think why we should put this ahead of anything else. I'd prefer the Special-Ed costs go before anything else, and we're not going to get to those until 2027. So I don't see a reason to move this one ahead, especially when it's ongoing things now and to get -- try to get in the middle of that would be confusing in my mind.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Rosenwald.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Thank you. Wondering if how the Committee would feel about -- um -- whether it would make sense for you as Chair to write a letter to the Governor asking that the -- the Audit Division be allowed to hire those two staff members so that some more audits could be taken on. Because we've got a whole list here of things that all look like we should be doing them, but there isn't the staff. So, I'm just throwing that out for discussion to see how people feel.

HOWARD PEARL, State Senator, Senate District #17: If I may?

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Pearl.

SEN. PEARL: Have you requested a waiver to hire the staff members?

MS. YOUNG: No, we have not, and I -- I'm not sure the -- the pause is coming from the Governor. Um -- it -- it may be coming from the LBA Budget Division. So -- um -- I don't think it's necessarily a situation where a letter would need to be written to the Governor. Um -- there's currently a pause right now. Um -- so, I don't think it would be necessary to -- to do that essentially.

SEN. PEARL: Okay. If I can follow-up? Well, I guess I know I'd like to know who's putting the pause on. I

mean, we're sitting here has a board and looking at topics that we're very interested in auditing and -- and we don't know who's telling us we don't have the staff to do it. So is that something you could get an answer to us?

MS. YOUNG: Yes, I can certainly get you that answer.

SEN. PEARL: That would be helpful. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: I think we're -- I think we're very close to looking at the next budget. And I would encourage you in the next budget to -- to suggest that those seats be filled so that we can do more audits.

MS. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if I may make a comment? Um -- in terms -- with this audit topic of UNH, I just wanted to say on the record that we do have a concern with this type of audit topic. In the letter, the letter discusses having us do a review of the proposed merger between the Chancellor's Office and the Office of the President. And -- um -- our performance audits typically look at things that have happened in the past -- um -- and how well a program has performed or how well an agency has performed.

You know, we look at things like whether the program operated efficiently and effectively, and whether the program was administered in compliance with laws and rules and

contracts. Our government auditing standards do allow us to do a prospective analysis. We have never done that. Um -- we've never done a prospective analysis. We haven't done those kind of audits. And, you know, as we've discussed, we are significantly short on staff. So, even if we were to -- to -- um -- be able to do an audit like this, it -- we wouldn't be able to deliver on that for several years likely.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you. Any other comments on this subject? Well, I suggest that we -- uh -- we just leave it for the future; and, hopefully, staffing will provide a little more help in getting the backlog done.

Okay. The next item is contract management potential audit topic. Um -- who wants to discuss that?

MS. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, I didn't have any comments related to these last four items on the list. They've been on the list for quite a while -- um -- and the status hasn't changed on these. So, I'm not sure if the Committee would like to keep them on the list or take them off the list at this point. Uh -- that would be for you to decide.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Weber. I mean --

REP. WEBER: Just Representative, sir.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: I gave you a promotion.

REP. WEBER: But thank you. I couldn't possibly

do all that fund raising. I just wondered if you had any idea -- um -- how the litigation stands with respect to the Bureau of Elderly and Adult Services? Um -- and I'm trying to remember there was something that was recently settled and was that in the area of the scope of this particular one, cuz I'm frankly not remembering. And if that's something you need to research and bring back to us, I understand that, also.

MS. YOUNG: Thank you, Representative Weber. I'm going to toss this one over to Jay. He -- he is aware of there was something in that.

MR. HENRY: I -- I caught something in Google when I was doing another search about a possible -- um -- agreement.

REP. WEBER: Hm-hum.

MR. HENRY: It looked like it was -- they were going back to the federal judge to see if they could reach an agreement or whatever. Um -- so right now it hasn't happened as far as I know.

REP. WEBER: Okay.

MR. HENRY: But it's on our radar that as soon as we know something happens, agreement been reached, we would come back to this Committee and say, oh, you know, the lawsuit it looks like they've, you know, changes are going to be made.

Now, from what I remember, the lawsuit's issues were very closely related to the topics we were going to look at.

REP. WEBER: Hm-hum.

MR. HENRY: So if you -- if they end up with an agreement and going to change things, I don't know if a performance audit at that time.

REP. WEBER: Right.

MR. HENRY: You know, maybe years down after they've implemented the changes they agreed to if that's what they've done. But, again, that is up to this Committee. Um -- yeah. But we -- I guess we -- we both saw the same or heard the same thing.

REP. WEBER: I -- I guess, Mr. Chairman, I would -- I would prefer that we kept this one on here because -- but I think that all of Mr. Henry's comments are very -- very apt and if -- if the settlement results in significant changes to the program, then it would be a good idea for us to give some time for those to work their way through the system; but I don't want to lose sight of it either. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Anyone else on the subject or any of the last four, really? I don't think it hurts anything to leave them here as potential subjects; but it's unrealistic

to think they're all going to get done quickly.

4. Other business:

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Is there any other business to come before -- I'm sorry, do you have a question?

REP. ERF: No, no. No, I'm good.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. Uh -- is there any other business to come before the Committee today? Okay.

5. Date of next meeting and adjournment:

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: I guess we're down to the date of the next meeting. Um -- and I guess it will be a call -- call by the Chair. Is there anything coming up you see that needs to be addressed?

MS. YOUNG: Not that I can see, Mr. Chairman. We're very busy. We have plenty of work to do. So -- um -- I think a meeting at the call of the Chair would be great.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. Any other comments? Okay. I'll take a motion to adjourn.

** REP. ERF: So moved.

SEN. PEARL: Second.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: All in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

*** **{MOTION ADOPTED}**

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you all for coming.

REP. ERF: Well done.

(Meeting concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Cecelia A. Trask, a Licensed Court Reporter in the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the official audio/video YouTube recording of the October 23, 2026, Fiscal Committee meeting is a true and accurate transcription of said audio/video YouTube recording. I was not physically present but have transcribed said audio/video to the best of my ability, skill, knowledge and belief.



Cecelia A. Trask

Cecelia A. Trask, RPR, RMR
New Hampshire LCR #00047