HB 333-FN - AS INTRODUCED

2023 SESSION

23-0169
06/08

HOUSE BILL ***333-FN***

AN ACT relative to changing the date of the state primary election and adding a run-off election if necessary.

SPONSORS: Rep. Sweeney, Rock. 25; Rep. Berry, Hills. 39; Rep. Alexander Jr., Hills. 29

COMMITTEE: Election Law

ANALYSIS

This bill changes the date of the state primary election to the second Tuesday in March. This bill also creates a run-off election in the event no state primary election candidate reaches 50 percent of the total vote.

- -

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics.***
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struckthrough.~~]
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 333-FN - AS INTRODUCED

23-0169
06/08

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty Three

AN ACT relative to changing the date of the state primary election and adding a run-off election if necessary.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Election of Officers and Delegates; Election Dates; State Primary Election. Amend RSA 653:8 to read as follows:

653:8 State Primary Election. The state primary election shall be held on the second Tuesday in [~~September~~] ***March*** of every even-numbered year.

2 Voters and Checklists; Hearings on Alterations to Party Registration. Amend RSA 654:32 to read as follows:

654:32 Hearings on Alterations to Party Registration. Before each state or presidential primary election, the supervisors of the checklist shall be in session before each primary for the change of registration of legal voters as provided in RSA 654:34 or 654:34-a or both. Before the presidential primary, the session shall be on the Friday preceding the first day of the filing period, between 7:00 p.m. and 7:30 p.m. and at the discretion of the supervisors for extended hours. Before the state primary election, the session shall be on Tuesday before the first Wednesday in [~~June~~] ***the preceding December*** between 7:00 p.m. and 7:30 p.m. and at the discretion of the supervisors for extended hours.

3 Voters and Checklists; Change of Registration. Amend RSA 654:34, IV to read as follows:

IV. No person, who is already registered to vote, whether his ***or her*** party membership has been previously registered or not, shall affiliate with a party or disaffiliate from a party between the first Wednesday in [~~June~~] ***the preceding December*** and the day before the state primary election.

4 Elections; Nominations by Primary; Filing: General Provisions. Amend RSA 655:14 to read as follows:

655:14 Filing; General Provisions. The name of any person shall not be printed upon the ballot of any party for a primary unless [~~he or she~~] ***they*** [~~is~~] ***are*** a registered member of that party, [~~he or she~~] ***they*** shall have met the age and domicile qualifications for the office he or she seeks at the time of the general election, [~~he or she~~] ***they*** meets all the other qualifications at the time of filing, and [~~he or she~~] ***they*** shall file with the appropriate official between the first Wednesday in [~~June~~] ***March*** and the Friday of the following week a declaration of candidacy as provided in RSA 655:17.

5 Elections; Nominations by Primary. Amend RSA 655:21 to read as follows:

655:21 Form. Primary petitions shall be made in the following form:

State of New Hampshire

County of _____ ss.

City (Town) of _____

I do hereby join in a petition for the printing on the primary ballot of the name of _____ whose domicile is in the city (town) of _____ (ward, street, and number, if in a city), in the county of _____, for the office of _____ to be voted for on Tuesday, the _____ day of [~~September~~] ***March***, _____ (year), and certify that I am qualified to vote for a candidate for said office, that I am a registered member of the _____ party, and am not at this time a signer of any other similar petition for any other candidate for the above office; that my domicile is in the city (town) of _____ (ward, street, and number, if in a city), in the county of _____. I certify that to my knowledge the above-named candidate is not a candidate for incompatible offices as defined in RSA 655:10, and that he or she is not a federal employee which makes him or her ineligible to file as a candidate for this office. I further certify that I believe the above-named person is especially qualified to fill said office.

I hereby swear, under the penalties for voting fraud set forth below, that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

_____

Print Voter's name

_____

Voter's Signature

In accordance with RSA 659:34, the penalty for knowingly or purposefully providing false information when registering to vote or voting is a class A misdemeanor with a maximum sentence of imprisonment not to exceed one year and a fine not to exceed $2,000. Fraudulently registering to vote or voting is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed $5,000.

6 Elections; Nomination by Primary; Examination and Rejection. Amend RSA 655:26 to read as follows:

655:26 Examination and Rejection. The officer with whom primary petitions are filed shall immediately upon receipt thereof examine the same and ascertain whether they conform to the law. If found not to conform thereto or to be conflicting as provided in RSA 655:23, [~~he~~] ***they*** shall then endorse thereon the reason why such petition cannot be accepted and shall, within 24 hours, return the same to the candidate in whose behalf it was filed. In such case, the candidate may file supplementary petitions with the official, but not later than the third Wednesday in [~~June~~] ***the preceding December***.

7 Ballot Law Commission; Hearing Date. Amend the introductory paragraph of RSA 665:5, I to read as follows:

I. If necessary, the ballot law commission shall meet on the third Thursday of [~~September~~] ***March*** in each general election year and the third Friday following the presidential primary election in order to hear and decide:

8 Election Procedure; Canvass and Declaration: State Primary Election; Canvass and Declaration Generally. Amend RSA 659:86 to read as follows:

659:86 Canvass and Declaration Generally. Except as provided in RSA 659:87, when, for each political party having an official state primary election ballot, the secretary of state has received the returns for a nomination from all towns or wards comprising the elective district for that office, [~~he~~] ***they*** shall examine, record and total such returns and, for each political party, shall declare nominated for the office the same number of persons as the number of officers to which the district is entitled; provided that those persons declared nominated for the office shall be those persons who, on each party ballot, received the highest number of votes cast for the office, except as provided in RSA 659:91. ***If no person is declared nominated in a multi-candidate partisan governor or partisan federal election primary by reaching more than 50 percent of the total vote, then the next 2 candidates with the most votes from the primary will face off in a run-off election held the second Tuesday in May.***

9 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

LBA
23-0169
1/4/23

HB 333-FN- FISCAL NOTE
AS INTRODUCED

AN ACT relative to changing the date of the state primary election and adding a run-off election if necessary.

FISCAL IMPACT: [X] State [ ] County [ ] Local [ ] None

	Estimated Increase / (Decrease)			
STATE:	**FY 2023**	**FY 2024**	**FY 2025**	**FY 2026**
Appropriation	$0	$0	$0	$0
Revenue	$0	$0	$0	$0
Expenditures	$0	Indeterminable	$0	Indeterminable
Funding Source:	[X] General	[ ] Education	[ ] Highway	[ ] Other

LOCAL:

Revenue	$0	$0	$0	$0
Expenditures	$0	Indeterminable Increase	$0	Indeterminable Increase

METHODOLOGY:

This bill changes the date of the state primary election to the second Tuesday in June. It also creates a run-off election in the event no state primary governor or partisan federal election candidate reaches 50 percent of the total vote.

The Department of State indicates there will be an indeterminable fiscal impact to General fund expenditures starting in FY 2024. The Department states the cost of the run-off election will vary depending on the number of run-off elections, the office and size of the district.

New Hampshire Municipal Association (NHMA) states there will be an indeterminable increase in local expenditures in FY 2024 and FY 2026. NHMA states most towns and cities will likely see an increase in expenditures as the state primary and "town meeting day" will now occur on the same day as will the run-off election. The combination of these changes as well as additional dates for the run-off elections would require additional staffing, additional polling locations, increase in wages or overtime paid for additional hours as well as additional equipment.

AGENCIES CONTACTED:

Department of State and New Hampshire Municipal Association

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON Election Law

BILL NUMBER: **HB 333-FN**

BILL TITLE: **relative to changing the date of the state primary election and adding a run-off election if necessary.**

DATE: **January 24, 2023**

THE COMMITEE HAS VOTED TO RETAIN THIS BILL.

_____

Rep. S. Smith, Chair

SIGN UP SHEET

To Register Opinion If Not Speaking

Bill #: HB 333-FN Date: 1/17/23

Committee: Election Law

**** Please Print All Information ****

Name	Address	Phone	Representing	Pro	Con
Rep Alvin See			Merrimack-26		✓
Rep Glenn Cordelli			Carroll 7		✓
Rep Timothy Horrigan			Strafford 10	~~✓~~	✓

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ELECTION LAW

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 333-FN

BILL TITLE: **relative to changing the date of the state primary election and adding a run-off election if necessary.**

DATE: **January 17, 2023**

LOB ROOM: **306-308** **Time Public Hearing Called to Order:** **10:46 am**

Time Adjourned: **11:10 am**

Committee Members: Reps. Berry, Grill, Prudhomme-O'Brien, Boehm, Qualey, Burnham, Kennedy, Ward, Wood, Lane, Hamer, Hamblet, Muirhead, Bay, Brennan and H. Howard

Bill Sponsors:
Rep. Sweeney **Rep. Berry** **Rep. Alexander Jr.**

TESTIMONY

* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

Rep. Sweeney, sponsor, introduced the bill and asked the committee to retain the legislation and stated that the bill would move the primary to the same day as Town Meeting Day in March. He explained that there are different bills that address the issue of runoff elections and said that he does not want the House to take a premature position until there has been more time to study the issue.

Rep. Lane asked if there were any alternatives he had in mind to the bill. Rep. Sweeney responded no.

Rep. Qualey asked about a possible typo in the bill that referred to candidates filing on a date following the proposed primary date. Rep. Sweeney confirmed that this was a typo and cited the mistakes in the bill as another reason for retention. Rep. Qualey followed up to ask how the primary would be coordinated with Town Meeting Day when not all towns hold their meeting on the same day. Rep. Sweeney stated that this would be the earliest day we could hold a primary day and said that this date was based on the historic presidential primary date.

Senior Deputy Secretary of State Lovejoy spoke in opposition to the bill. She stated that the first reason for opposing the bill is the date and said that the SOS office believes that it would create a lot of problems if the primary and municipal elections were held on the same date, and that local issues would be drowned out by the primary. She also said that voters in municipal elections currently have to vote on 3 ballots, and the primary would add to the number of ballots they would be voting on. Lastly, she said that voters have concerns around receiving absentee ballots and that the HAVA rules do not apply to town elections, so the primary ballots could be sent 40 days before the election and the town ballots would be sent later. She cited an issue that occurred last year in which municipal ballots were sent prior to school ballots and said that receiving absentee ballots in separate mailings would be concerning. With regards to the runoff proposed in the bill, she cited constitutional issues given that the NH Constitution states that elections are to be decided by a plurality of votes. She also stated her concerns surrounding voters not receiving results on Election Night, and said that it would create difficulty to have municipal elections managed by the towns and primaries managed by the SOS.

Rep. Lane asked whether the SOS would be opposed to any change to election law that would delay results beyond Election Night. Ms. Lovejoy confirmed. Rep. Lane asked for an explanation, and Ms. Lovejoy said that for a runoff election, all ballots would need to be shipped to Concord and the delay in finding out primary election results would take quite a while.

Rep. Muirhead asked that on the matter of plurality vs. majority, whether the SOS's office has received a legal opinion. Ms. Lovejoy said that the office believes there may be a constitutional issue but that this is not a legal opinion. Rep. Muirhead asked to confirm if the SOS office has sought an opinion from the Attorney General. Ms. Lovejoy confirmed that this is correct and said that the legislature needs to investigate constitutionality further.

Acting Chair Berry, co-sponsor, asked whether it would cause issues to hold state and federal issues on the same day if it would be problematic to hold municipal and state elections on the same day. Ms. Lovejoy said that this would particularly pose an issue for local issues. Acting Chair Berry asked how the SOS office would manage the presidential primary and Town Meeting Day on the same date. Ms. Lovejoy said that she would need to follow up on that as the two elections have not been held on the same day for a while.

Rep. Horrigan* spoke in opposition to the bill and reiterated his opposition to an early primary date. He also stated that last year, redistricting was not completed until a week before the filing period began and that he did not see how the process could occur so quickly. He said that moving the primary date to March would create an 8-month campaign period. He said that he likes that the current system compresses the primary campaign period into late summer and early autumn and that this is better than stretching the period into almost a full year. He also stated that issues that are on voters' minds can change greatly over 8 months and cited the pandemic in 2020. He said that having a long post-primary campaign is bad and it is better to have the primary over the summer, especially for legislators. He said that a runoff would double the cost of an election and is inconsistent since there are a lot of single-seat down-ticket races. He doubted that any of the proposed changes would increase the quality of candidates and that getting stronger candidates to run is a different problem. He said that he would prefer ITL to retaining the bill.

Acting Chair Berry adjourned the public hearing.

House Remote Testify

Election Law Committee Testify List for Bill HB333 on 2023-01-17

Support: 1 Oppose: 31 Neutral: 1 Total to Testify: 0

Export to Excel

Name	City, State Email Address	Title	Representing	Position	Testifying	Non-Germane
Learner, Marilyn	Hollis, NH mzlearner@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Heath, Ruth	Canterbury, NH ruthmheath@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Greyes, Natch	Concord, NH ngreyes@nhmunicipal.org	A Lobbyist	New Hampshire Municipal Association	Neutral	No	No
Erikson, David	Weare, NH daviderikson@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Kornhauser, Naomi	Durham, NH naomi.kornhauser@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Holstein, Susanne	Bedford, NH srholstein@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Creem, Jeffrey	Nashua, NH jeff@thecreems.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Anastasia, Patricia	Londonderry, NH patti.anastasia@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Ezell, Marilynn	Temple, NH dracker@quackers.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Ezell, William	Temple, NH wje@quackers.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Casino, Joanne	Concord, NH joannecasino@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Podlipny, Ann	chester, NH apodlipny57@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Brown, Nancy	Hopkinton, NH wcbnpb@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
BROWN, JEAN	HANOVER, NH jean.e.brown@dartmouth.edu	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Rettew, Ann	Concord, NH Abrettew@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Sims, Julie	Guild, NH jpmom39@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Maldonado, Luis	Concord, NH nh_ocs@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Bushueff, Catherine	Sunapee, NH agawamdesigns@gmail.com.	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Kelley, Mary	Dover, NH midgekelley1@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Perry, Bob`	Strafford, NH perry4nh@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Hamer, Gary	Manchester, NH grhamer@aol.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Hamer, Geoffrey	Manchester, NH geoffh87@aol.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No

Hatcher, Phil	Dover, NH phil.hatcher@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Richman, Susan	Durham, NH susan7richman@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Rasmussen, Elissa	Brookline, NH elissa@evanshatz.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Rasmussen, Kyle	Brookline, NH kyle_rasmussen@icloud.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Horrigan, Timothy	DURHAM, NH timothy.horrigan@leg.state.nh.us	An Elected Official	Strafford 10	Oppose	No	No
Kaw-uh, Bryce	Manchester, NH bryce.kawuh@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Petrusewicz, Carol	Rochester, NH clmcc2befree@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Friedman, Richard	Bedford, NH friedman946@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No
Pierre, Sandra	Marlow, NH scitarel@yahoo.com	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Couture, Matthew	Rochester, NH coochdog@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No
Cote, Lois	Manchester, NH lcote06@outlook.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No

Testimony Against HB 115-FN and HB 333-FN

Rep. Timothy Horrigan (Strafford 10); January 17, 2023
House Election Law Committee

I would not be opposed to moving the state primary date up a few weeks, but I think HB 333-FN is a ludicrous idea. The post-primary campaign would be lengthened from roughly a month and a half to almost eight months. That would place nominees in the awkward position of either boring the electorate to death (and burning out their supporters) by knocking on doors, putting up signs, mailing postcards, running ads, putting up signs, raising money, etc. for basically the entire year. One good thing about the current system is that it compresses both the primary and general election campaigns into a few weeks in the late summer and early-to-mid autumn.

The proposed calendar poses huge barriers to entry for both candidates and voters. Candidates have to fundraise and organize for a year-long campaign, twice as long as current campaigns. Voters have to decide on a party eleven months before the general election, in December of the previous year, which will discourage independents from voting in either party's primary. It also makes it virtually impossible for disaffected members of one party to join the other party.

HB 333's elongated calendar also guarantees that the primary campaign will hinge on issues which have little or nothing to do with what's on the voters' minds eight months later. In 2020, to name an especially dramatic example, the primary would have happened before the pandemic and before the Black Lives Matter movement. Even in a relatively normal year like 2022, things happen which change the electorate's thinking: for example, the January 6th hearings uncovered many shocking facts which put the horrific events of that day in 2021 in a new perspective (for some of us, at least.)

Finally, HB 333 will in most cases call for run-offs in "top of the ticket" races, thus doubling the cost of the primary election. The sponsors intelligently bypassed the issue of holding run-offs in multi-seat House districts, but most down-ballot elections are in fact for single-seat constituencies. But, no provision is made for run-offs in down-ballot seats.

I also oppose the less dramatic proposal in HB 115 which lengthens the existing calendar by three month by preponing the State Primary to mid-June, and the filing window to March. HB 115 still makes it harder for candidates to prepare a campaign.

Last year, 2022, was a redistricting year and the legislature was unable to complete the various redistricting plans until just a few weeks before the existing June filing window. The Congressional plan was technically never completed: the feds eventually stepped in to appoint an outside hired gun to draw the line between the two districts. If HB 115 becomes law, it would be next to impossible to draw all those lines before the March 2032 filing window. As introduced, HB 333 envisions a filing window one week before the March primary, which is totally unworkable, since it doesn't even allow time to print up ballots. The filing window for the 2032 primary would presumably have to be in December 2031: that is so early, we won't even be able to count on the Census Bureau being able to provide our redistricting committees with all the detailed data needed to draw the lines.

Testimony Against HB 115-FN and HB 333-FN

Rep. Timothy Horrigan (Strafford 10); January 17, 2023
House Election Law Committee

I would not be opposed to moving the state primary date up a few weeks, but I think HB 333-FN is a ludicrous idea. The post-primary campaign would be lengthened from roughly a month and a half to almost eight months. That would place nominees in the awkward position of either boring the electorate to death (and burning out their supporters) by knocking on doors, putting up signs, mailing postcards, running ads, putting up signs, raising money, etc. for basically the entire year. One good thing about the current system is that it compresses both the primary and general election campaigns into a few weeks in the late summer and early-to-mid autumn.

The proposed calendar poses huge barriers to entry for both candidates and voters. Candidates have to fundraise and organize for a year-long campaign, twice as long as current campaigns. Voters have to decide on a party eleven months before the general election, in December of the previous year, which will discourage independents from voting in either party's primary. It also makes it virtually impossible for disaffected members of one party to join the other party.

HB 333's elongated calendar also guarantees that the primary campaign will hinge on issues which have little or nothing to do with what's on the voters' minds eight months later. In 2020, to name an especially dramatic example, the primary would have happened before the pandemic and before the Black Lives Matter movement. Even in a relatively normal year like 2022, things happen which change the electorate's thinking: for example, the January 6th hearings uncovered many shocking facts which put the horrific events of that day in 2021 in a new perspective (for some of us, at least.)

Finally, HB 333 will in most cases call for run-offs in “top of the ticket” races, thus doubling the cost of the primary election. The sponsors intelligently bypassed the issue of holding run-offs in multi-seat House districts, but most down-ballot elections are in fact for single-seat constituencies. But, no provision is made for run-offs in down-ballot seats.

I also oppose the less dramatic proposal in HB 115 which lengthens the existing calendar by three month by preponing the State Primary to mid-June, and the filing window to March. HB 115 still makes it harder for candidates to prepare a campaign.

Last year, 2022, was a redistricting year and the legislature was unable to complete the various redistricting plans until just a few weeks before the existing June filing window. The Congressional plan was technically never completed: the feds eventually stepped in to appoint an outside hired gun to draw the line between the two districts. If HB 115 becomes law, it would be next to impossible to draw all those lines before the March 2032 filing window. As introduced, HB 333 envisions a filing window one week before the March primary, which is totally unworkable, since it doesn't even allow time to print up ballots. The filing window for the 2032 primary would presumably have to be in December 2031: that is so early, we won't even be able to count on the Census Bureau being able to provide our redistricting committees with all the detailed data needed to draw the lines.

Ruth Heath

There is no reason to extend the election season to the middle of session where lawmakers and elected official should be focusing on doing their jobs and not running for an office they will not take for another 9 months. Election season should not be any longer than it already is. People weary of politics when it is so drawn out. This erodes our faith in democracy and the effectiveness of elected officials.

David Erikson

I favor setting the primary earlier, but this is too early.

Susanne Holstein

The campaign season is long enough already and we do NOT need a run-off election and its expense to municipalities.

Jeffrey Creem

RSA 655:41 ties the submission of nomination petitions to the date of the primary. As a result, moving the primary up dramatically shortens the time available for third party and independent candidates to gather petitions. Further this pushes petition collection into seasons where it can be quite cold in NH, which makes it difficult for petition canvassers to interact with the public. If RSA 655:41 were modified to retain the current distance from the general election, my objections to this bill would be removed.

Patricia Anastasia

This bill moves the primary to a timeframe that coincides with town elections and town meetings; neither the primary nor town business will get the time they deserve if they are happening at the same time. This bill also moves the primary to a time when the legislature is in session, which will result in NH House and Senate members spending time campaigning rather than working on legislation. It will also create an election cycle that is months longer than the current election cycle; a long election cycle will result in voter fatigue. There are only 10 states that have runoff elections; runoff elections are subject to voter fatigue and additional costs, neither of which we need to encourage in NH.

Bryce Kaw-uh

The residents of New Hampshire are forced to deal with political campaign seasons that are long enough already. In fact, the current duration is something that I greatly appreciate about living here. Extending the increasingly tense election process even further will not benefit our state culture and may harm community cohesion. That being said, I do support the notion of requiring victors to reach 50%, however I think an instant runoff process through Ranked Choice Voting would be more fiscally responsible than holding yet another Election Day.

Richard Friedman

I support requiring a run off election if no candidate receives over 50%

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ELECTION LAW

EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 333-FN

BILL TITLE: relative to changing the date of the state primary election and adding a run-off election if necessary.

DATE: January 24, 2023

LOB ROOM: 306-308

MOTIONS: **RETAINED**

Moved by Rep. Berry | Seconded by Rep. Lane | Vote: 20-0

CONSENT CALENDAR: YES

Statement of Intent: Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted,

Rep Jessica Grill, Clerk

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
OFFICE OF THE HOUSE CLERK

1/5/2023 1:43:00 PM
Roll Call Committee Registers Report

2023 SESSION

Election Law

Bill #: HB 333 Motion: Retain AM #: _____ Exec Session Date: Jan. 24, 2023

Members	YEAS	Nays	NV
Smith, Steven D. Chairman	✓		
Berry, Ross Vice Chairman	✓		
Prudhomme-O'Brien, Katherine J.	✓		
Boehm, Ralph G.	✓		
Qualey, James R.	✓		
~~Ankarberg, Aidan~~ Sweeney, Joe	✓		
Burnham, Claudine R	✓		
Kennedy, Stephen J.	✓		
Wherry, Robert J	✓		
Wood, Clayton D	✓		
Lane, Connie Boyles	✓		
Ward, Gerald W.R.	✓		
Hamer, Heidi M.	✓		
Hamblet, Joan L.	✓		
~~Muirhead, Russell~~ Paige, David	✓		
Bay, Luz	✓		
Brennan, Angela	✓		
Grill, Jessica	✓		
Howard, Heath	✓		
TOTAL VOTE:	20	0	0

Palmer, William ✓