Bill as Introduced

HB 407 – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

5jan00.....2135h

1999 SESSION

99-0825
03/09

HOUSE BILL ***407***

AN ACT establishing a committee to study unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls.

SPONSORS: Rep. MacGillivray, Hills 21

COMMITTEE: Science, Technology and Energy

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill establishes a committee to study and review issues related to unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls.

. . . . . .

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics.***
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struckthrough.~~]
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 407 – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

5jan00.....2135h

99-0825
03/09

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Ninety-Nine

AN ACT establishing a committee to study unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Purpose. The general court finds that:

I. Unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls are perceived by many residents of the state of New Hampshire as a nuisance.

II. Methods have been implemented to date for reducing the perceived nuisance of receiving such unsolicited calls, including caller identification and no-call lists. However, these methods have been viewed by many residents as inadequate because the telephone is the primary means of emergency communication for most people and residents still need to interrupt their current activities to check whether the call is from a friend or relative, possibly from a location not previously known.

III. A study committee should carefully examine various options for creating and enforcing restrictions on such unsolicited calls.

2 Committee Established. There is established a committee to study and review issues related to unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls.

3 Membership and Compensation.

I. The members of the committee shall be as follows:

(a) Three members of the house of representatives, at least one of whom shall be from the science, technology and energy committee and at least one of whom shall be from the commerce committee, appointed by the speaker of the house. The speaker of the house may appoint up to 3 other members, if deemed necessary, as alternates.

(b) At least 2 but not more than 3 members of the senate, appointed by the president of the senate.

II. Members of the committee shall receive mileage at the legislative rate when attending to the duties of the committee.

4 Duties. The committee shall be responsible for the following:

I. Monitoring and assessing the effectiveness of existing and future methods of attempting to reduce or eliminate unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls to those residents of New Hampshire who do not wish to receive them.

II. Monitoring, assessing, and suggesting changes in public utilities commission information designed to inform customers of their rights under telephone and electric service deregulation.

III. Studying the relative benefits, relative costs, legality under federal laws including federal telecommunications laws, and feasibility of restrictions on unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls, ranging from restrictions on the number and nature of such calls to prohibition of such calls.

IV. Examining whether penalties, if any, should include not only fines, but also the suspension of a violator's right to provide certain services, such as the provision of competitive telephone or electric service, within the state.

V. Examining whether restrictions, if any, on unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls should be placed on persons engaged in all businesses, or whether restrictions should be limited and placed only on persons engaged in businesses where restrictions could be more easily enforced, such as competitive telephone or electric service providers that must register with the state before providing service.

VI. Studying whether if restrictions are placed, residents not wishing to receive unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls should opt in to the restrictions, or whether residents wishing to receive unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls should opt out of the restrictions.

5 Chairperson. The members of the study committee shall elect a chairperson from among the members. The first meeting of the committee shall be called by the first-named house member. The first meeting of the committee shall be held within 45 days of the effective date of this section.

6 Report. The committee shall report its findings and any recommendations for proposed legislation to the speaker of the house of representatives, the senate president, the house clerk, the senate clerk, the governor, and the state library on or before November 1, 2000.

7 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

Committee Minutes

Date: March 7, 2000
Time: 1:25pm
Room: 101 LOB

The Senate Committee on Executive Departments Administration held a hearing on the following:

HB 407 (New Title) establishing a committee to study unsolicited commercial telephone soliciation calls.

Members of Committee present:
Senator Francoeur
Senator Brown
Senator D'Allesandro
Senator Roberge
Senator Trombly

The Chair, Senator Gary R. Francoeur, opened the hearing.

Representative Jeffrey C. MacGillivray, Hillsboro, D. 21: Thank you very much Mr. Chairman. For the record I am Representative Jeff MacGillivray. I am prime sponsor of HB 407. An act establishing a committee to study unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls.

The title on this has changed and it has had a history. This is a second time a bill of this type has been introduced by me. It is the first one that has made it as far as the senate.

Lines 2 and 3 state the primary purpose of the bill. Unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls are perceived by many residents of the State of New Hampshire as a nuisance. In all my time in this legislature I have submitted many bills. I have tried to explain them to some of my constituents. This is one of the few that doesn't require explanation and that actually has some of them jumping up and down. "That is a great idea I would love to have my phone stop ringing."

This applies to both their home phone where they are tired of running across the room to find out that no it is just another sales person. I don't know who

it is I have to pick it up and answer it. Aunt Tilly might be in trouble or something. No, it is not Aunt Tilly it is another salesman.

Methods have been implemented to try to reduce this nuisance, caller id, no call lists. Caller id doesn't work because you have to stop what you are doing and go over to the phone to find out who it is that is calling. Even then you can't be sure that it isn't some relative calling from a payphone because somebody is in trouble an they need help.

No call lists do work to some extent, but they are not perfect either. The first time I proposed this bill I proposed a general band and people told me we don't want that. The second time around I said let's make it just apply to people that are selling competitive electric service or competitive telephone service. That did change one thing it went to house science instead of house commerce.

There wasn't complete support for it there either. Although there was a very wide range of opinion, ranging from support to opposition. A couple of people pointed out that other states have tried things in this direction and they have never quite found anything that works yet either. People say that there will be people that break laws like this regardless. Well I don't think that is a strong argument because you do have people that do obey the law.

If it comes time to start selling things like credit cards and so forth some of those calls come from reputable companies. I would assume that if it was illegal the reputable ones would stop doing it. That would at least reduce some of the...

It is clear to me that this is one of the few problems that I have suggested solving that a large number of constituent's get very excited about. They think this would be wonderful. They believe the phone is there for their purposes and so forth. Junk mail is one thing. You can pick it up, sort through it when you are sorting through mail anyway.

Yes, you have to carry in a couple extra pounds of this stuff. It is not that bad, but when the phone rings it could be a relative, it could be a friend, you can't assume that it isn't somebody that you want to hear from. That is the big difference between a phone call and junk mail.

So we have other duties monitoring and assessing the effectiveness of caller id today. Public Utilities Commission information designed to inform people of their rights. It appears from what I have looked at so far that this is probably legal in some cases under federal laws. They restricted certain

things and left open the possibility of addition restrictions, but I am not a lawyer and I have heard a number of different opinions on this subject.

One problem that was brought forth by the Attorney General on a regular band was, how can you chase down somebody and do anything to them? Passing laws that you can't enforce is not my idea of good legislation, but in a situation where you are talking about something like competitive electric and competitive telephone service. You at least have the option of saying well you need permission from us in order to sell that in this state.

If you miss be have in this state we will take away your right to sell that sort of service in this state. If you are not providing long distance and local service in this state you probably won't be calling up people trying to sell them the service. Nobody wants to do that.

What I suggested is that it apply to all businesses. People ask why don't you have it apply to a few. When ask it to apply to a few. People ask why don't you have it apply to all. I am not sure what the most appropriate way of going about this is. The committee after discussing it for awhile thought that given the amount of attention that this has received on a national level and in other states. The fact that they have heard from some of their constituents too.

This might not be a bad idea to put together a study committee hear from people for once and for all and see if there is something we can do about it to solve this problem. I leave it to your judgement as to whether this serves enough of a useful purpose to justify setting up a study committee. Please not I have written, this is a generic comment on study committees. Having served on several and had trouble getting quorums I have suggested this language here, which I pieced together from a couple of different other study committee bills.

Where we have as many house alternates as house members and the opportunity for the Senate President at least at the start of the process to appoint fewer than the equal number, but still have the ability to appoint a few number later. This way on a committee like this you can appoint three house members, three house alternates, and if the Senate President is scared that people won't show up he can appoint two people. If it becomes an important enough issue that people are showing up a third can be appointed at anytime.

That solves that problem of course. At least one occasion I was an alternate of a committee and we managed to get the house members there and the senators were just too busy because they probably had one too many study

committees to be on. With only 24 of you and 400 of us I can understand that completely. Regardless of what you do with this bill I would commend to your attention and suggest that you consider language like this which doesn't put the senate at a disadvantage for any study committee that it wants full membership on and that people will show up for. Leaves open the opportunity for making the achievement of a quorum more likely.

I thank you for your attention to the entire subject. Thank you.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: Any question from the committee? Senator Trombly.

Senator Rick A. Trombly, D. 7: Thank you. Would faxes fall under preview of this committee?

Representative Jeffrey C. MacGillivray, Hillsboro, D. 21: My understanding and I was more sure of this a couple years ago that I am now. I was very much under the impression that two years ago or so, somebody showed me a copy of a federal statute that said junk faxes are illegal. I have since then been lead to believe that I may be wrong about that. If it is indeed this is true then we wouldn't worry about it. If it is not true and I should probably get back to you on that before you finish considering this bill.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: Thank you. Other questions? Thank you Representative MacGillivray.

Representative Jeffrey C. MacGillivray, Hillsboro, D. 21: Thank you.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: I have the signup sheet. I don't have anybody else. Is there anybody wishing to speak?

Richard Bouley: Good afternoon Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. My name is Dick Bouley. I am representing the National Direct Marketing Association. This bill on the surface may sound really good, but this is not the place for this bill, which I am going to try to explain.

This study committee is not needed. Let me tell you why I don't believe it is needed. I represent over 4800 companies that represent direct marketing throughout the country. There are ways to, I think I might have told this committee before. There is a way to opt out on direct marketing telephone calls, telephone preference or mail preference. I didn't bring it all with me I must have left it on my table, but I do have it back at the office if you want to see some of this information.

I do have the one on direct marketing telephone preference. I think what we are missing here is, this is not a State of New Hampshire problem. It is federal problem because of the fact that the whole business of trade has developed between states, interstate and it has become international.

Therefore, if we were to penalize New Hampshire businesses by making rules and regulations that they have to follow. Then the outsiders, people outside New Hampshire are going to benefit and out businesses will have to follow our state rules. That is why we are now in what I call, not only a global economy, but a global situation for direct marketing people.

We have to look at what is happening at the federal level. You see from television everyday that there is more and more information on the Internet. This is what the federal government is now studying. What rules do we need to not only possibly regulate the Internet, but the whole gamut of interstate trade?

Industry and government is working together at the federal level to solve the many problems that have developed as we expand the global communications. That is why it would be very difficult of New Hampshire. Let me give you a specific example. On the bill section roman 5, it says studying whether if restrictions are placed, residents not wishing to receive unsolicited commercial solicitation calls should opt in to the restrictions, or whether residents wishing to receive unsolicited commercial telephone solicitation calls should opt out.

Now what I explained to you about the telephone opting out in other words if you don't want to receive those calls you send your name in, you make this out and they will not call you. **(See Attachment A)** If they do call you they are breaking federal law because in order for those companies to do business at the federal level they have got to agree to abide by the citizens wishes.

Now some states have actually developed no solicitation calls in their state. My research shows that the people have never gone beyond 2% of the people that actually use this preference. It is there for them in some states like Florida for example. I call it the 1 to 2 percent solution depending from state to state.

There are several who have no solicitation call. Such a bill has already died. There have been bills by Representative MacGillivray and others like Representative Kurk on these privacy issues. Every one of them has died that has gone through the whole process. It appears to me that we have a resurfacing of this bill I think because it was just a study committee. They said well lets examine it.

The second year of the legislative session in my opinion is not a good time to take on an issue like this. For example, the opt in. Literally people would have to decide they want to receive the information rather than receive it the other way. A lot of people are not going to make that effort. We would be hindering business greatly, small business, if we do that because this opt in opt out is a real problem.

I have no problem with opting out. If an individual wants their privacy and they don't want these things then they can opt out, but having to opt in is going to hurt many New Hampshire businesses. So I really hope that you will find this bill inexpedient to legislate. This is a can of worms. There are so many things they want to study here. I don't see how a committee can do that in a short period of time that they are given.

I know that I feel strongly that New Hampshire trade would be adversely effected here in the State of New Hampshire if we allow only opt in. It would be definitely less business. I think it would hurt our state business wise.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: I have got one for you. Can we get a copy of the form to get out?

Richard Bouley: Yes absolutely. I have the telephone ones, I don't have the other ones with me, but I do have the telephone ones absolutely. There are people who do want to get off, but there are people that in certain areas they want to be on the list. Like if you have an interest in fishing. Maybe you want catalogs to send you things. Other areas you don't. That is consumer's wishes. Besides the preference earth they can ask an individual company to take them off this list if you prefer not to have that.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: Senator Brown.

Senator Mary E. Brown, D. 17: It is interesting. I was going to ask you about companies. I occasionally answer the phone for my husbands company and I got a call the other day and the person said, "can I speak to the manager?" I said the manager is not available. "Can I speak the person in charge?" I said there is no one in charge here. I do have a question.

Richard Bouley: I was going to answer the question. Remember you asked me once before on something like this. I have the answer. I believe it was you Senator Brown.

Senator Mary E. Brown, D. 17: Let me ask this question. When I read the duties of this committee. It seems to me a lot of it has to do with the public knowing about these kinds of things. I guess my question to you is why is

that a bad idea? If someone like myself doesn't want to get calls and have to deal with it when I am busy in an office or at home. Why shouldn't we have a study to find out how to do that better?

Richard Bouley: Do you have a telephone book handy? I am going to show you how common this knowledge is. All you have to do is open to page 35. Maybe what we can do is to let the public know that there are so many ways of getting informed of this matter. I don't think we need legislation.

Something that is on page 35, I am going to be looking pretty bad if I am not right here, but I believe it is page 35. My assistant will find that for me she always finds everything else so I am sure that she will find that.

Senator Mary E. Brown, D. 17: What was my other question?

Richard Bouley: I thought that your question was when you own a business can you opt out a business call. Did you ask that?

Senator Mary E. Brown, D. 17: Yes I did.

Richard Bouley: The answer is no. Thank you very much. The answer is no you can't. That is a different situation. It is business and we don't stop businesses from calling businesses. It is when businesses call individuals. I wasn't sure of the answer and I said I would look it up. I didn't even remember at the time who asked the question.

On page 31 I was close. Customer safety and protection tips. I am not going to read it to you, but basically it says talks about Bell Atlantic and so forth. Be careful about how you use your telephone. How to handle obscene or harassing or threatening calls. It goes through that. It also tells you about recorded calls. Be aware of phone fraud.

I think it is quite clear in here somewhere. It talks about that you can opt out and you can send in, it is under number 4 about sales protocol. If you want to reduce the number of sales calls from national companies, write to the Direct Marketing Association Telephone Preference Service. Send a letter including your name, allow 90 days.

So, I think there are things out there that we are not even aware of. I didn't pull this out as a, I pulled this out as prop to show you that there is constant. A lot of mail that I get, people say in the letter if you don't want any more of these fill out the top check it and send it back. I think that businesses realize that there are a lot of people that don't want some of the mail and they don't want to spend the money. They don't want to spend the money to people who

are not interested. I really think that there are some serious problems here that I think have been miss represented.

I really don't think that we need this bill. I think that because of the fact it is being studied at the national level. Where I think it should be. Now if the national study doesn't come out the way we want it then we can look at it here. I think it is premature for New Hampshire to have a study on an issue that really is currently being done at the national level because of the Interstate Commerce. I would be glad to answer your questions.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: Further questions? Senator Roberge.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: Did you oppose this bill in the house?

Richard Bouley: I am sure I did, but it is pretty hard to defeat a study committee in the house, but I didn't push it that hard. I want to see what would happen. I had several bills of a similar nature, which I opposed and they all died, those that have been voted on. There is another bill presently dealing with probably a lot of bills in direct marketing privacy issue.

One is creating an office of privacy. I hear there is a new one...privacy. I try to deal with them one at a time. This was a rereferred bill. The usual committee that takes up this bill is the Commerce Committee nothing of that nature has passed that committee. This bill came from I believe Science and Technology. That is the committee that doesn't usually handle this type of bill. It was a rereferred bill it was done in I think October or November of least year and the senate is getting it now.

It is a little bit different bill. I might point out that Representative MacGillivray the may sponsor is a member of the Science and Technology Committee and certainly lengthy testified why he needed it.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: Senator Trombly

Senator Rick A. Trombly, D. 7: You have as you said been involved in this issue for a long time. Of the charges of the committee the responsibility is to investigate 6 things. Are those 6 things any different from legislation, which has been killed by the house of the senate in the past few years? In other words are we going to be studying legislation that we have already killed, just to come up with legislation that we are probably going to kill?

Richard Bouley: I would say general yes, but on number 6 about the opting in. I am not sure if that might be a new item. That is the one that I think would be even more harmful. I would say the answer is yes. I would have to

double check to make sure that is correct. I don't want to miss lead the committee. I can get a list of all the bills that have been killed that have gone and had thorough study in subcommittee in the Commerce Committee under...

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: Any questions from the committee? Seeing none thank you.

Richard Bouley: Thank you.

Senator Gary R. Francoeur, D. 14: Do we have others wishing to testify? Seeing nobody else wishing to testify on HB 407 we will close the hearing at this time.

Respectfully Submitted
Zita-Maria Wescott
Committee Secretary

Attachment A



Telephone Preference Service
Direct Marketing Association
P.O. Box 9014
Farmingdale, NY 11735-9014

For many people, telephone marketing calls provide value and convenience. Yet, direct marketing companies recognize that some people do not like to receive telephone solicitation calls at home. Others may want to shop by phone but would like to reduce the volume of calls they receive. Today, both attitudes can be satisfied.

If you enjoy shopping by phone but wish to reduce the volume of calls you receive at home, we suggest that you ask the company calling not to rent your name to other companies. This can be easily accomplished when you place an order, make a donation or any time you communicate with a telephone marketer.

If you want to reduce the amount of national advertising calls you receive at home, use this form to register with the Direct Marketing Association's Telephone Preference Service (TPS). You must provide your area code and telephone number along with your name and address to be properly registered. DMA will distribute your request to companies subscribing to TPS.

After a few months, TPS will reduce the amount of advertising calls you receive from national marketers, like credit card offers and magazine subscriptions. Some local organizations and charities may not participate. Names remain on the file for five years. After 5 years, you will need to register with TPS again.

If you continue to receive unwanted telephone calls after a few months, DMA suggests that you request name removal from those companies when they call.

YES! PLEASE REGISTER MY NAME WITH TELEPHONE PREFERENCE SERVICE.

NAME:

STREET: **APT #:**

CITY:

STATE: **ZIP CODE:** -

TELEPHONE NUMBER: - -

Mail Preference Service
Direct Marketing Association
P.O. Box 9008
Farmingdale, NY 11735-9008

For many people, advertising mail is informative and provides value, convenience and fun. Yet, direct marketing companies recognize that some people do not like to receive advertising mail. Others may want to shop direct but would like to reduce their mail volume. Today, both attitudes can be satisfied.

If you enjoy shopping direct but wish to reduce the mail volume you receive at home, we suggest that you contact your favorite mailers and ask that they not rent your name to other companies. This can be easily accomplished when you place an order, make a donation or any time you communicate with a mailer.

If you want to reduce the amount of national advertising mail you receive at home, use this form to register with the Direct Marketing Association's Mail Preference Service (MPS). DMA will distribute your request to companies subscribing to MPS.

After a few months, MPS will reduce the amount of advertising mail you receive from national marketers like catalogs, credit card offers, discount coupon offers, and magazine subscription offers. Some local organizations and charities may not participate in the program. You will continue to receive mail from companies with which you do business. Names remain on the file for five years. After 5 years, you will need to register with MPS again.

If you continue to receive unwanted mail after a few months, DMA suggests that you write directly to the mailer to request name removal.

recycled paper

YES! PLEASE REGISTER MY NAME WITH MAIL PREFERENCE SERVICE.

NAME:

STREET: **APT #:**

CITY:

STATE: **ZIP CODE:** -

3-7-00 (1)

HB 407

Francoeur, D'Allesandro, Brown, 1:25pm
Trombly, Roberge

Rep MacGilliveray - Lines 2+3
Have Some
Caller Id Doesn't
work.
Calling from
No Call lists do
work to Some
extent
lets make it did Change
one thing
Other State have tried in
this direction
Don't think that is a
strong argument.
Clear to me that this is
large number of [illegible]
Get Caller id [illegible]
Can't assume it isn't
Someone
Phone call + Junk Mail

What I looked @ so far
left open part of difference

Passing laws you can't
enforce
option need permission from
us
Won't be trying to provide
Most appropriate
Amount & tension
Heard from some constituents
Study Committee to solve
problem
Justify
Can appoint
Third can be
Solves that problem.
Managed to get house
members there
I would commend
your attention.
Waits for Mentia Shupon.

End - Questions

Thornbly - faxes - fall under

Rep - federal statute
Junk faxes illegal
Should get back

GF = Thank you

Beasley - Good afternoon
National Direct Marketing Association
Not place for Bill
Not needed
Represent over 90? companies
Opt out on Direct
Direct Marketing
Missing
Not State with problem
Federal problem
became national
Outside going to benefit
Global Situation
Have to look @ what is happening @

Internet
Industry + Government
Why it would be very difficult
Section Roman 5 (6)
Shows people never have gone beyond 2%
2% solution.
Such a bill has already died.
Appears that we have a re Surveying of this bill.
Binding Small business
No problem opt out
Having to opt in
ITL Find
Can 0 warns
Short period of time
Will Trade activity Enacted.

GF - get copy of form to get at

Bouley- Want to be on list
other areas you don't
Can ask
individual company

GF

Brown- Got call. Read Duties
Public knowing about
these types of things

Bouley- How common this is.
Pg. 35 (phone Books)
Don't think I need legislation

~~Brown~~-

Bouley- No you can't opt out
Business race.
Businesses call individuals
Pg. 31
Reduce write to Direct
marketing.
Constant
Business Reduce.
Serious Problems

Being Studied @ National level

GF -

SE - oppose in House

Bouley - Didn't push that hard.
presently
deal w/one @ time
This was rereferred
Bill
is Commerce
Science + Technology
act or NOV. - last year
different Bill

GF -

RT - Been involved long time
Responsibilities. 6 Mos.
any different
Study Leg that has already

Bouley- yes
Double Check
get list of all
Bills that have
Been

bf- Close Hearing

~~2:10~~ 1:50

Speakers

SENATE EXECUTIVE DEPTS. & ADMIN. COMMITTEE

Date 3-7-00 Time 1:30 pm Public Hearing On HB 467

Appearing in FAVOR: (Please print) **Please check box at left to speak.**

Speaking?	Name	Address	Representing
☑	Rep Jeffrey C MacGillivray	Hills 21	
☐	Rep. Priscilla Lockwood	Mer. #9	
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			

Appearing in OPPOSITION: (Please Print) **Please check box at left to speak.**

☐	Richard L. Bailey	National Direct Marketing	
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			
☐			

Testimony



Telephone Preference Service
Direct Marketing Association
P.O. Box 9014
Farmingdale, NY 11735-9014

For many people, telephone marketing calls provide value and convenience. Yet, direct marketing companies recognize that some people do not like to receive telephone solicitation calls at home. Others may want to shop by phone but would like to reduce the volume of calls they receive. Today, both attitudes can be satisfied.

If you enjoy shopping by phone but wish to reduce the volume of calls you receive at home, we suggest that you ask the company calling not to rent your name to other companies. This can be easily accomplished when you place an order, make a donation or any time you communicate with a telephone marketer.

If you want to reduce the amount of national advertising calls you receive at home, use this form to register with the Direct Marketing Association's Telephone Preference Service (TPS). You must provide your area code and telephone number along with your name and address to be properly registered. DMA will distribute your request to companies subscribing to TPS.

After a few months, TPS will reduce the amount of advertising calls you receive from national marketers, like credit card offers and magazine subscriptions. Some local organizations and charities may not participate. Names remain on the file for five years. After 5 years, you will need to register with TPS again.

If you continue to receive unwanted telephone calls after a few months, DMA suggests that you request name removal from those companies when they call.

YES! PLEASE REGISTER MY NAME WITH TELEPHONE PREFERENCE SERVICE.

NAME:

STREET: **APT #:**

CITY:

STATE: **ZIP CODE:** -

TELEPHONE NUMBER: - -

Voting Sheets

Senate Executive Depts. & Admin. Committee
EXECUTIVE SESSION

HB 4047

Hearing date: 3-7-00 Room: 101 COB

Motion of: ITL

Made by Senator:			*Seconded by Senator:*		
	Cohen	☐		Cohen	☐
	Francoeur	☐		Francoeur	☐
	M. Brown	☐		M. Brown	☐
	D'Allesandro	☑		D'Allesandro	☐
	S. Larsen	☐		S. Larsen	☐
	S. Roberge	☐		S. Roberge	☐
	Trombly	☐		Trombly	☑

5-1

Committee Member	*Present*	*Vote*	*Reported out by*
Senator Burton J. Cohen, Chairman	☐	✓	
Senator Gary Francoeur, Vice-Chair	☐	—	
Senator Mary E. Brown	☐	✓	
Senator Lou D. Allesandro	☐	✓	—
Senator Sylvia B. Larsen	☐		
Senator Sheila Roberge	☐	? ✓	
Senator Rick A. Trombly	☐	✓	

*Amendments:

NOTES:

Committee Report

Date: March 7, 2000 Time: 1:25 pm

The Senate Committee on Executive Departments Administration held its hearing in Room 101 LOB, Concord, New Hampshire.

BILL NO.: HB 407 TITLE: (New Title) establishing a committee to study unsolicited commercial telephone soliciation calls.

Members of the Committee present:

Senator Francoeur
Senator Brown
Senator D'Allesandro
Senator Roberge
Senator Trombly

Those appearing in favor:

Name and Address	Representing
See Attached.	

Those appearing in opposition:

Name and Address	Representing
See Attached.	

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE:

- ☐ Ought to Pass
- ☐ Ought to Pass w/ Amendment
- ☒ Inexpedient to Legislate
- ☐ Rereferred
- ☐ Interim Study
- ☐ Continued Hearing
- ☐ Postponed Hearing

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SENATE

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date:

THE COMMITTEE ON Executive Departments Administration

to which was referred House Bill 407

AN ACT (New Title) establishing a committee to study unsolicited commercial telephone soliciation calls.

VOTE: 5-1

Having considered the same, report the same with the following resolution:
Resolved, that it is: **INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.**

Senator Lou D'Allesandro
For the Committee