Bill as Introduced

SCR 7 - AS INTRODUCED

2000 SESSION

00-2824
08/09

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION **7**

A RESOLUTION urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

SPONSORS: Sen. Russman, Dist 19; Sen. Johnson, Dist 3; Sen. Pignatelli, Dist 13; Sen. Cohen, Dist 24; Sen. Below, Dist 5; Rep. Musler, Straf 6; Rep. Patten, Carr 9; Rep. Hess, Merr 11; Rep. Bradley, Carr 8; Rep. M. Fuller Clark, Rock 36

COMMITTEE: Environment

ANALYSIS

This senate concurrent resolution urges the federal government and New Hampshire's congressional delegation to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and other small states of interstate waste legislation and to support federal authorization of state and local governments' authority to prohibit or limit the receipt of out-of-state municipal solid waste.

SCR 7- AS INTRODUCED

00-2824
08/09

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand

A RESOLUTION urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

Whereas, the state of New Hampshire is a net importer of solid waste in the amount of 700,000 tons/year received from out of state versus 100,000 tons/year exported from the state in the latest year of record; and

Whereas, the capacity of New Hampshire landfills is diminishing at a rate greater than new capacity is being permitted and developed; and

Whereas, actions in other states such as a moratorium on landfill expansion directly increase the burden on New Hampshire's remaining solid waste disposal capacity; and

Whereas, New Hampshire fundamentally supports the movement of waste in interstate commerce; and

Whereas, the legislature, the department of environmental services, the governor's office of recycling, the non-profit Northeast Resource Recovery Association, and municipal governments are jointly committed to increasing diversion of waste from landfills and incinerators through increased reuse and recycling; and

Whereas, further success in increasing reuse and recycling in New Hampshire may be defeated by making available more of the state's remaining disposal capacity for the disposal of out-of-state waste to the detriment of the public good in New Hampshire; and

Whereas, New Hampshire seeks to manage its waste disposal in the public interest by assuring adequacy of disposal capacity while protecting the environment and public health; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate, the House of Representatives concurring:

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to consider the impacts on and the needs of the smaller states, such as New Hampshire, when dealing with interstate waste legislation which is currently focused on large state problems; and

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to support the federal authorization of state and local governments' authority to prohibit or limit the receipt of out-of-state municipal solid waste; and

That copies of this resolution be forwarded by the senate clerk to the New Hampshire congressional delegation.

Amendments

Rep. Patten, Carr. 9
April 25, 2000
2000-4231h
08/09

Amendment to SCR 7

Amend the resolution by replacing the second paragraph after the resolving clause with the following:

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation is strongly urged to propose and support legislation in the United States Congress which creates incentives for each state to plan for the processing and disposal of its municipal solid waste within its own borders and discourages moratoria on waste disposal capacity and the exportation of municipal solid waste to other states; and

2000-4231h

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This senate concurrent resolution urges the federal government and New Hampshire's congressional delegation to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and other small states of interstate waste legislation, and urges New Hampshire's congressional delegation to propose and support legislation in Congress which creates incentives for each state to plan for the processing and disposal of its municipal solid waste within its own borders and discourages moratoria on waste disposal capacity and the exportation of municipal solid waste to other states.

Rep. Patten, Carr. 9
April 28, 2000
2000-4277h
08/09

Amendment to SCR 7

Amend the resolution by replacing the first paragraph after the title with the following:

Whereas, the state of New Hampshire is a net importer of solid waste, in the amount of approximately 534,000 tons/year received from out-of-state in 1999 versus approximately 93,000 tons/year exported from the state in 1998; and

Amend the resolution by replacing all after the resolving clause with the following:

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to consider the impacts on and the needs of the smaller states, such as New Hampshire, when dealing with interstate waste legislation which is currently focused on large state problems; and

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to propose and support legislation which creates incentives for each state to plan for the processing and disposal of its municipal solid waste within its own borders; and

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to support the federal authorization of state and local governments' authority to reasonably limit the receipt of out-of-state municipal solid waste; and

That copies of this resolution be forwarded by the senate clerk to the New Hampshire congressional delegation.

2000-4277h

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This senate concurrent resolution urges the federal government and New Hampshire's congressional delegation to:

I. Consider the impacts on and the needs of the smaller states when dealing with interstate waste legislation.

II. Propose and support legislation which creates incentives for each state to plan for processing and disposal of its municipal solid waste within its own borders.

III. Support the federal authorization of state and local governments' authority to reasonably limit the receipt of out-of-state municipal solid waste.

Rep. Patten, Carr. 9
April 28, 2000
2000-4277h
08/09

Amendment to SCR 7

Amend the resolution by replacing the first paragraph after the title with the following:

Whereas, the state of New Hampshire is a net importer of solid waste, in the amount of approximately 534,000 tons/year received from out-of-state in 1999 versus approximately 93,000 tons/year exported from the state in 1998; and

Amend the resolution by replacing all after the resolving clause with the following:

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to consider the impacts on and the needs of the smaller states, such as New Hampshire, when dealing with interstate waste legislation which is currently focused on large state problems; and

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to propose and support legislation which creates incentives for each state to plan for the processing and disposal of its municipal solid waste within its own borders; and

That New Hampshire's congressional delegation and the federal government are strongly urged to support the federal authorization of state and local governments' authority to reasonably limit the receipt of out-of-state municipal solid waste; and

That copies of this resolution be forwarded by the senate clerk to the New Hampshire congressional delegation.

2000-4277h

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This senate concurrent resolution urges the federal government and New Hampshire's congressional delegation to:

I. Consider the impacts on and the needs of the smaller states when dealing with interstate waste legislation.

II. Propose and support legislation which creates incentives for each state to plan for processing and disposal of its municipal solid waste within its own borders.

III. Support the federal authorization of state and local governments' authority to reasonably limit the receipt of out-of-state municipal solid waste.

Speakers

SIGN UP SHEET

To Register Opinion If Not Speaking

Bill # SCR 7 Date 04/26/00

Committee State-Federal

** Please Print All Information **

Name	Address	Phone	Representing	(check one) Pro	Con
Betsey Patten	Carroll 9		Moultonboro	✓	
Deborah B. Pignatelli	District 13		Nashua	✓	

Hearing Minutes

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE-FEDERAL RELATIONS AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

PUBLIC HEARING ON SCR7

BILL TITLE: urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

DATE: April 26, 2000

LOB ROOM: 306 **Time Public Hearing Called to Order:** 11:00am

Time Adjourned: 12:30pm

(please circle if present)

Committee Members: Reps. Tufts, G. Gagnon, Fields, Fenton, Rubin, N. Reardon, D. Flanders, Dalianis, Marple, D. Ouellette, Priestley, Quandt, Young, Lynott, Domingo, Drabinowicz, Herman, J. McDonald, Vincent, Twardus, G. Johnson and McDonough-Wallace.

Bill Sponsors: Sen. Russman, Sen. Johnson, Sen. Pignatelli, Sen. Cohen, Sen. Below, Rep. Musler, Rep. Patten, Rep. Hess, Rep. Bradley, Rep. M. Fuller Clark

TESTIMONY

* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

Megan Konys spoke in support of SCR7 on behalf of Sen. Russman, prime sponsor of this bill.

Rep. Rubin asked if Sen. Russman coordinated any of this legislation with Congress. Ms. Konys stated that she believed that this was mentioned.

Rep. Patten introduced an amendment (2000-4231h). She urges New Hampshire Congressional Delegation strongly support this resolution.

Rep. Rubin asked why open door for out of state waste.

Rep. Patten stated that we urge Congress' help about the issue of out-of-state waste.

Rep. Hess supports some form of SCR7. Focuses on Federal legislation. We need to look at NH waste coming in to regulate interstate commerce. Law from Congress to delegate states help.

Rep. Marple asked about comparison of the amount of waste in NY to NH.

Rep. Hess stated that he could not comment on that.

Rep. Rubin asked what we should send.

Rep. Hess stated that we should send the resolution for strength.

US Senator Smith Chairman of Environment Committee helps. Prohibit not incentives.

Rep. Marple asked would you believe resolution to further rights of states of interstate commerce.

Rep. Hess stated "no"...keep it simple.

Phil O'Brien, NH Dept. of Environmental Svs (DES) spoke in support of this bill. He stated that Rep. Patten's amendment could be incorporated. Set a date. At certain levels legislation dealing with large states. Do not forget the small states. Support authorization to prohibit waste within its borders. There are rational ways to limit waste.

Rep. Rubin asked what's next if this does not pass. Mr. O'Brien of DES stated they would continue to work with the situation as they are doing now. We can handle what is sustained for 10 yrs. Small companies 15% waste. Controlled by permit process. Concentrate on volume. There are 18 sites under the Superfund and 15 of the 18 sites have remedial solutions.

Rep. Marple asked if anyone was aware of NY v. US. Mr. O'Brien stated that it does not deal with nuclear waste.

Bob Scully, NH Motor Transport Assn. (NHMTA) is concerned about competitive small truckers to take out waste.

* **Steve Poggi, Waste Management of NH** opposes resolution. He stated that DES has modified waste permits to conform to year 2010. This resolution could limit competition for truckers to take out. No provisions for this disposal. 400,000 tons would be 200,000 tons out-of-state.

Rep. Rubin asked if we have the capacity to haul out of state.

Rep. Marple asked if there is any way to determine net amount of tons in and out.

Steve Poggi stated that we need to look at tonnage and recycling. We go back to out-of-state hauling limited to how much has been generated for disposal. Most material has been sorted – glass, aluminum, iron and others. Waste disposal is process at plant.

Rep. Fenton asked what two firms control the waste. Control the ability of collecting and hauling.

Steve Poggi directly to other materials to southern NH. Provides a bearer to transport to others.

* **Steve Changaris** opposes legislation. Lack of competitiveness. We can solve the problem ourselves with business to 2010.

Respectfully submitted

Dennis H. Fields, Clerk pro-tem

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE-FEDERAL RELATIONS AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

PUBLIC HEARING ON SCR7

BILL TITLE: urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

DATE: April 26, 2000

LOB ROOM: 306 **Time Public Hearing Called to Order:** 11:00 A.M.

Time Adjourned: 12:30 PM

(please circle if present)

Committee Members: Reps. (Tufts) G (Gagnon) (Fields) (Fenton) (Rubin) N. Reardon, D. Flanders, Dalianis (Marple) D. Ouellette (Priestley) Quandt, (Young) (Lynott) Domingo, (Drabinowicz) Herman, J. McDonald, (Vincent) (Twardus) G. Johnson and (McDonough-Wallace)

Bill Sponsors: Sen. Russman, Sen. Johnson, Sen. Pignatelli, Sen. Cohen, Sen. Below, Rep. Musler, Rep. Patten, Rep. Hess, Rep. Bradley, Rep. M. Fuller Clark

TESTIMONY

* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

(@ST 3000)

1. MEAGAN KANYS SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF SEN RUSSMAN. SUPPORTS SCR7

REP RUBIN = WAS SEN RUSSMAN COORDINATE ANY OF THIS LEGISLATION TO THE CONGRESS. MEGAN KANYS BELIEVES THIS WAS MENTIONED.

REP; BETSY PATTEN = HAS AMEND. PUT IN FOLDER 2000 - 4231H.

URGES NEW HAMPSHIRE CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION STRONGLY SUPPORT THIS RESOLUTION SCR 7.

REP RUBIN = ASKED WHY OPEN DOOR FOR OUT OF STATE WASTE STOPS PROHIBIT NOT INCENTIVE.

REP-PATTERN = URGE CONGRESS HELP ABOUT THE ISSUE OF OUT OF STATE WASTE.

REP: HESS = SUPPORT SOME FORM OF SCR7. FOCUSES ON FEDERAL LEGISLATION LARGER STATES. WE NEED TO LOOK AT N.H. WASTE ~~COMING~~ INCOMING INTERSTATE COMMERCE. LOW FROM CONGRESS TO DELEGATE STATES HELP.

(2) 11-6-c

Rep. Marple asked compare to new york waste to NH.

Rep = Hess = Replied He couldn't comment on this.

Rep. Rubin = what should we send.

Rep. Hess = send Resolution for strength.

US senator Smith chairman environment committee helps.

prohibit not incentives.

Rep: Marple = could you believe Resolution to further rights of states of interstate commerce.

Rep. Hess says no keep it simple.

Phil O'Brien = support bill Mr O'Brien NH Des stated Rep Patten amendment could be incorporated.

set limits at certain levels legislation dealing with large states don't forget the small states.

support authorization to prohibit waste.

within its borders.

rational ways to limit waste.

Rep: Rubin = ask if this doesn't pass what's next.

Mr O'Brie of NH Des says they will continue to work with the situation as now we do.

we can handle now what is sustained for 10 yrs 2010. small companies 15% waste.

controlled by permit process.

concentrate on volume.

18 sites under superfund

15 of 18 sites have remedial solutions.

Rep: Marple = are you aware N.Y vs United States.

Mr O'Brien = doesn't deal with ~~[redacted]~~ nuclear waste.

Bob Scully = NH MTA motor transportation

concern about competitive small truckers

to take out waste.

Steve Vecci written testimony opposes Resolution. waste management

Page 2

SCR = 7 continued votes Committee.

Steve Poggi = DES has modified waste permits to conform to yr 2010.

This resolution could limit competition for trucks to take out. No provisions for this disposal.

Clarify = 400,000 tons would be 200,000 tons out of state.

Rep Rubin = Have capacity to haul out waste in N.H. To redirect out of state material to NH to mass to Maine.

Rep Marple = Any way to determine net amount tons in = tons out.

Steve Poggi = We need to look at tonnage, recycling. We go back to out of state haulers find how much has been generated for disposal. Most material has been sorted glass, aluminum, ferrous & others.

Waste disposal is processed at plants

Rep Fenton = Asked two firms that control the waste. Control the ability collecting & hauling.

Mr Poggi = Direct to other material to Southern N.H. Provides a broker to transport to others.

Steve Chancellor = written testimony on SCR = 7 opposing. Lack of competitiveness.

We can solve problem ourselves with business to 2010.

Testimony

NSWMA
NATIONAL SOLID WASTES
MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION
NORTHEAST REGION

Testimony

of the

National Solid Wastes Management Association

on

Senate Concurrent Resolution # 7

Before the New Hampshire House Committee

on

State-Federal Relations and Veteran Affairs

April 26, 2000
11:00 a.m.
Room 302, Legislative Office Building
Concord, NH

290 Turnpike Road, PMB #407 • Westborough, MA 01581-2843 • 508 839 4751 • Toll Free 1 800 679 6263 • Fax 508 839 4761

Mr. Chairman, distinguished members of the committee, good day. My name is Steve Changaris and I am the northeast regional manager for the National Solid Wastes Management Association. NSWMA is a nationally structured, locally based, trade association of private companies that provide environmentally secure and economically efficient waste management services like landfills, w-t-e plants, material diversion and reduction processing, recycling, collection and trucking and more. I have worked at NSWMA in behalf of the waste services industry throughout the northeast for nearly eight years now.

Today I am here to urge you to use utmost legislative caution and care when deliberating SCR # 7. Other people testifying today will make the case for passage of SCR # 7, while others will make the case for why you should not pass SCR # 7. To be clear, the points raised by those in opposition to the passage of SCR # 7, will for the most part, resonate well with me and the companies that are actively engaged in providing waste services here in New Hampshire.

My formal education is in political science and I have been involved in policy analysis most of my professional career. My education and years of experience tell me that you should easily see why no further action needs to be taken on SCR # 7 since it is fatally flawed for many reasons. We believe the measure contains factual inaccuracies and is rife with policy and legislative inconsistencies. First, NH disposal capacity is not diminishing at an unanticipated or unplanned rate. In fact, based on latest reported and available disposal capacity numbers, NH has adequate disposal capacity to meet its needs for at least the next 10 years, or through 2010. Second, NH no longer imports a significant amount of municipal solid waste. This turn-around was achieved through the voluntary and cooperative efforts of NH waste officials and the waste industry. Third, SCR # 7 supports the free movement of waste in interstate commerce while at the same time suggests interstate waste movement somehow adversely affects source reduction or recycling of waste materials. NSWMA is unaware of any such relationship and knows of no causal link between these separate and distinctly different types of modern waste management activities.

Please know waste industry views are shaped fundamentally by the fact that we run our companies as businesses in an open and free market economy. Trash is an article of commerce and flows freely in trade. Trash moves freely in commerce because the Congress and courts have worked diligently to ensure America has one "national" economy, as envisioned by our founders. Legislation like SCR # 7 is antithetical to 200 years of maintaining one "national" economy. The thesis underpinning SCR # 7 has limited appeal for this reason since it will set the precedent to disrupt commerce and lead to new and even more vexing waste management problems for you as elected NH officials. If this measure is affirmed, it will surely help start us down a slippery slope towards a "balkanized" economy which consumers and free traders so fear. Further, we believe it will lead to the reduction of options available to you, your communities and your waste generators in how you and they manage solid, hazardous and nuclear wastes produced in NH. Surely, if Congress gives states authority to restrict the movement of municipal solid waste in commerce it will give states the authority to restrict the movement of hazardous and nuclear wastes in commerce too.

After trash, hazardous and nuclear wastes, what will be limited next? Will other states endeavor to have Congress stop the import of NH engineered and crafted high tech products into their jurisdiction in an attempt to assure the viability and the long term adequacy of their high tech industry? Surely, once one fully considers the ramifications of SCR # 7, one realizes just how complicated trade and waste issues are and realizes that they are not well served by asking Congress to erect barriers that will choke off viable management options provided by the interstate marketplace.

Before closing I want to let each of you know that our work as an industry and trade group doesn't stop with coming into hearings like these here, or elsewhere, and simply defending free trade in trash. Please know that we work daily in other jurisdictions all over America in an effort to help them develop sound waste policy too. A consistent message we deliver to state and local leaders is the importance of viable local disposal capacity to deal with their own wastes. This, as I am sure you can imagine, is not an enviable role to play. However, we make the case for local disposal capacity just as strongly as we do in support of the unrestricted interstate movement of trash in commerce. We do so

because we know that imbalances in regional interstate waste shipments, or the perception of an imbalance, gives rise to unwise proposals like SCR #7. We believe proposals like SCR # 7 threaten to disrupt our open and competitive waste services marketplace and we believe, in the end, will only serve to further complicate the circumstances under which we as an industry operate in service to our customers who are your citizen, municipal, business and industrial constituents.

I thank you for your attention. I stand ready in behalf of the waste industry and NSWMA to work with you in any effort to craft and promote an environmentally secure and economically efficient solid waste management system for New Hampshire.

-- 30 --

State of New Hampshire
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
6 Hazen Drive, P.O. Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095
(603) 271-3503 FAX (603) 271-2867

April 26, 2000

The Honorable J. Arthur Tufts, Chair
State-Federal Relations & Veterans Affairs Committee
Legislative Office Building, Room 306
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Subject: SCR 7- a Resolution urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

Dear Chairman Tufts:

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of SCR-7, (which urges Congress to incorporate the interests of smaller states like New Hampshire in any interstate waste legislation it may consider.

As you are aware, Governor Shaheen formed a broadly representative task force last September to study several issues related to solid waste including in-state landfill capacity, the interstate shipment of waste to New Hampshire for disposal and the increase in the cost of disposal. While the Task Force has not completed its work, one of the focal points of its efforts is the issue of interstate transport of waste, including congressional action on this issue.

At the time of task force formation, the Department of Environmental Services had requested several bills which included Senate Bill 388-FN, a bill which would have imposed a per ton surcharge on all waste disposed of in New Hampshire whether from in-state or out-of-state. The bill was not successful and the subject resolution is being offered in its place. Its intent is to focus the New Hampshire Congressional Delegation on the importance of New Hampshire's needs with respect to federal legislation dealing with interstate waste shipment since current, proposed legislation is focused on the requirements of large states, principally New York, Pennsylvania and Virginia. Further, we support federal legislation which would provide state and local governments authority to limit the receipt of out-of-state municipal waste. We do not believe that Congress should authorize states to prohibit the importation of solid waste. States should do as much as possible to manage their solid waste in-state, but some level of interstate waste movement is necessary.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this resolution. Please call me or Philip J. O'Brien, Ph.D., Director of the Division of Waste Management, (271-2905) if you have any questions regarding these matters or this testimony.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Varney

Robert W. Varney
Commissioner

Cc: Senator Richard L. Russman
Senator Carl R. Johnson
Senator Debora B. Pignatelli
Senator Burton J. Cohen
Senator Clifford C. Below
Representative George T. Musler
Representative Betsey L. Patten
Representative David W. Hess
Representative Jeb E. Bradley
Representative Martha Fuller Clark



WASTE MANAGEMENT

April 20, 2000

Waste Management Reports Major Reduction in Waste Received from Out-of-State

As Result of Cooperative Plan with NH Department of Environmental Services

Waste Management is pleased to report the latest results of a cooperative, voluntary effort begun in 1998 with the State of New Hampshire to assure sufficient long-term waste disposal capacity and achieve a better balance of waste received from other states.

Dramatic reductions of out-of-state waste have been achieved in both 1999 and 2000. The most recent results for the first three months of 2000 show that only 18% of the solid waste received at Waste Management's Turnkey Recycling and Environmental Enterprises Facility has come from out-of-state, versus 63% received from out-of-state in 1998. Comparing the actual first quarter results of 1998 to the actual first quarter results of 2000, a 75% reduction in waste received from out-of-state has been achieved.

Approximately 741,000 tons of out-of-state waste were received two years ago. At that time, Waste Management and the NH Department of Environmental Services developed a plan to assure sufficient long-term capacity to meet the State's needs through 2010, and to reduce the amount of out-of-state waste received at the Turnkey Facility. Waste Management's New Hampshire Division Manager, Mike McInerney, stated that "The Company has been actively working with the State to voluntarily reduce the amount of waste received from other states and to preserve disposal capacity for New Hampshire's long term needs. We are pleased that our plan is squarely on track and that we have been able to produce such dramatic results in such a short time."

The plan has successfully achieved the following results:

Year	Waste Received from Out-of-State	Waste Received In-State
1998	63% - (741,000 tons)	37% - (437,000 tons)
1999	53% - (552,000 tons)	47% - (490,000 tons)
2000 Plan	22% - (192,000 tons)	78% - (694,000 tons)
2000 Actual 1st Quarter*	18% - (32,000 tons)	82% - (150,000 tons)

* Reflects actual results from January, February, and March.

New Hampshire continues to depend on other states for disposal of radioactive waste, hazardous waste, sludge and other special waste materials for which no disposal outlets are available in New Hampshire. In 1998, more than 100,000 tons of municipal solid

waste were exported from New Hampshire, together with radioactive, hazardous waste, and other materials generated in the State. For this reason, it is not in New Hampshire's interest to erect legislative barriers to the interstate shipment of waste or to otherwise attempt to impede interstate commerce. Instead, the cooperative approach taken in NH between the State and the private sector has yielded dramatically successful results. Waste Management is committed to a continued working relationship with the State to assure that New Hampshire's long-term solid waste management policy goals are achieved.

For more information, please contact Mike McInerney (603-330-2198) or David Tooley (603-929-3205).

Voting Sheets

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE-FEDERAL RELATIONS AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

EXECUTIVE SESSION on SCR7

BILL TITLE: **urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.**

DATE: May 09, 2000

LOB ROOM: 306

Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Re-Refer, Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Fenton

Seconded by Rep. Gagnon

Vote: 14-0 (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Re-Refer, Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

CONSENT CALENDAR VOTE: 14-0

(Vote to place on Consent Calendar must be unanimous.)

Statement of Intent: Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. James J. Fenton

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE-FEDERAL RELATIONS AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

EXECUTIVE SESSION on SCR7

BILL TITLE: **urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.**

DATE: 5-9-2000

LOB ROOM: 306

Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Re-Refer, Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Fenton

Seconded by Rep. Gagnon

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Re-Refer, Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

CONSENT CALENDAR VOTE: 14-0

(Vote to place on Consent Calendar must be unanimous.)

Statement of Intent: Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. James J. Fenton, Clerk

OFFICE OF THE HOUSE CLERK 2000 SESSION

STATE-FEDERAL RELATIONS AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

Bill #: SCR-7 **Title:** Urging Federal study of interstate Waste

PH Date: 5/9/00 **Exec Session Date:** 5/9/00

Motion: Interim Study **Amendment #:**

MEMBER	YEAS	NAYS
Tufts, J Arthur, Chairman	✓	
Gagnon, Eugene L, V Chairman	✓	
Fields, Dennis H		
Fenton, James J, Clerk	✓	
Rubin, George R	✓	
Reardon, Neil J		
Flanders, David A		
Dalianis, Griffin T	✓	
Marple, Richard		
Ouellette, Dean		
Priestley, Anne K	✓	
Quandt, Marshall E	✓	
Young, David A	✓	
Lynott, Margaret E	✓	
Domingo, Baldwin M	✓	
Drabinowicz, A Theresa	✓	
Herman, Richard P		
McDonald, James A	✓	
Vincent, Francis C		
Twardus, Joseph R	✓	
Johnson, Gary Lance		
McDonough-Wallace, Alice T	✓	
TOTAL VOTE:	14	0

Printed: 1/19/2000

Committee Report

COMMITTEE REPORT

COMMITTEE: **State-Federal Relations and Veterans Affairs**

BILL NUMBER: **SCR 7**

TITLE: urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

DATE: May 09, 2000 CONSENT CALENDAR YES ☒ NO ☐

☐ OUGHT TO PASS

☐ OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT

☐ INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE

☐ RE-REFER

☒ REFER TO COMMITTEE FOR INTERIM STUDY
(Available only in second year of biennium.)

STATEMENT OF INTENT
(Include Committee Vote)

Public testimony left the committee with concerns relative to the solid waste disposal costs to our communities. Further investigation indicated two firms control waste management in New Hampshire. This coupled with the efforts to discourage the use of community incinerators may create a quasi-monopoly thus establishing "tipping" fees in a "hostage" environment. The committee wishes to study this waste problem and voted to refer to interim study.

Vote 14-0.

Rep. James J. Fenton
FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk
cc: Committee Bill file

USE ANOTHER REPORT FOR MINORITY REPORT

CONSENT CALENDAR

State-Federal Relations and Veterans Affairs

SCR 7, urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation. REFER TO COMMITTEE FOR INTERIM STUDY

Rep. James J. Fenton for **State-Federal Relations and Veterans Affairs:** Public testimony left the committee with concerns relative to the solid waste disposal costs to our communities. Further investigation indicated two firms control waste management in New Hampshire. This coupled with the efforts to discourage the use of community incinerators may create a quasi-monopoly thus establishing "tipping" fees in a "hostage" environment. The committee wishes to study this waste problem and voted to refer to interim study. Vote 14-0.

COMMITTEE REPORT

COMMITTEE: **State-Federal Relations and Veterans Affairs**

BILL NUMBER: **SCR7**

TITLE: urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

DATE: 5/9/00 CONSENT CALENDAR YES ☑ NO ☐

☐ OUGHT TO PASS

☐ OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT

☐ INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE

☐ RE-REFER

☑ REFER TO COMMITTEE FOR INTERIM STUDY
(Available only in second year of biennium.)

STATEMENT OF INTENT
(Include Committee Vote)

Vote

Rep.
FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk
cc: Committee Bill file

USE ANOTHER REPORT FOR MINORITY REPORT

SCR-7

Public testimony left the committee with concerns relative to the solid waste disposal costs to our communities. Further investigation indicated two firms control waste management in N.H.
This coupled with the efforts to discourage the use of community incinerators may create a quasi-monopoly, thus establishing "Tipping" fees in a "hostage" environment.
The committee wishes to study this waste problem and voted to refer to interim study 14-0.

INTERIM STUDY
COMMITTEE REPORT

COMMITTEE: STATE, FEDERAL & VETERAN AFFAIRS

BILL NUMBER: SCR 7

TITLE: urging the federal government to consider the impacts on New Hampshire and the smaller states of interstate waste legislation.

DATE: 24 OCTOBER 2000

☒ **RECOMMENDED FOR FUTURE LEGISLATION**

LSR No._00-2824

(If legislation is already filed, please list LSR no.)

☐ **NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUTURE LEGISLATION**

STATEMENT OF INTENT: (May be handwritten)

This legislation addresses a potential waste disposal problem in New Hampshire and other small states. The committee feels a more in depth look at this activity may develop a more comprehensive legislation.

COMMITTEE VOTE: **12-0** (Attach Committee Voting Sheet)

PLEASE NOTE:
- Reports are due **November 1, 2000**, at the House Clerk's Office with the committee voting sheet attached.
- Place a copy of the committee report and all subcommittee work documents in bill file.

Rep. James J. Fenton
For the Committee

Rev. 6/5/00

OFFICE OF THE HOUSE CLERK 2000 SESSION

STATE-FEDERAL RELATIONS AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

Bill #: SCR-7 **Title:** Impact on NH/other small states re solid waste

PH Date: ___/___/___ **Exec Session Date:** 10/24/2000

Motion: _____ **Amendment #:** _____

MEMBER	YEAS	NAYS
Tufts, J Arthur, Chairman	✓	
Gagnon, Eugene L, V Chairman	✓	
Fields, Dennis H	✓	
Fenton, James J, Clerk	✓	
Rubin, George R	✓	
Reardon, Neil J		
Flanders, David A		
Dalianis, Griffin T		
Marple, Richard	✓	
Ouellette, Dean		
Priestley, Anne K	✓	
Quandt, Marshall E	✓	
Young, David A		
Lynott, Margaret E		
Domingo, Baldwin M	✓	
Drabinowicz, A Theresa	✓	
Herman, Richard P		
McDonald, James A	✓	
Vincent, Francis C		
Twardus, Joseph R	✓	
Johnson, Gary Lance		
McDonough-Wallace, Alice T		
TOTAL VOTE:	12	0

Printed: 9/13/2000

INTERIM STUDY
COMMITTEE REPORT

COMMITTEE: State & Federal Relations & Veterans Affairs

BILL NUMBER: SCR-7

TITLE: Impacts on NH and other small states of interstate waste legislation

DATE: 10/24/2000

☒ **RECOMMENDED FOR FUTURE LEGISLATION**

LSR No. 00-2824 **(If legislation is already filed, please list LSR no.)**

☐ **NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUTURE LEGISLATION**

STATEMENT OF INTENT: (May be handwritten)

This Legislation addresses a potiential waste disposal problem in New Hampshire and other small states. The committee feels a more in depth look at this activity may develop a more compreshenside legislation

COMMITTEE VOTE: 12-0 (Attach Committee Voting Sheet)

PLEASE NOTE:
- Reports are due **November 1, 2000**, at the House Clerk's Office with the committee voting sheet attached.
- Place a copy of the committee report and all subcommittee work documents in bill file.

Rep. James C. Fenton
For the Committee

Rev. 6/5/00

Thanks for allowing me to address the House Committee

regarding my 24 hour

Need Fentanyl Pills to Remain a

For Penal Legislation

Fentanyl remains the only way the

effect upon the environment where one

Stands. The cost to the community is not

Considered.

There are two bankers in our

State.

Respectfully Submitted,

Gregory L. Fenves